

Legal Frameworks and Challenges for Blockchain-Based Bills of Lading in International Trade

Runhan Zhao^{1,a}

¹*School of International Law, East China University of Political Science and Law, Shanghai, China*
^a*1061159166@qq.com*

Keywords: Intelligent bills of lading, blockchain technology, international trade, legal challenges, legal regulation, trade efficiency

Abstract: This paper examines the legal regulation of intelligent bills of lading in international trade. While blockchain-based bills of lading offer significant advantages in improving trade efficiency and reducing costs, they face numerous legal challenges. The study analyzes these challenges and proposes solutions for developing a comprehensive legal framework to support the adoption of intelligent bills of lading.

1. Introduction

The maritime industry plays a crucial role in global trade, with the International Maritime Organization reporting that over 90% of global trade volume is carried by sea^[1]. Traditional paper-based bills of lading, while long-standing, are increasingly viewed as inefficient and prone to fraud. The advent of blockchain technology has paved the way for intelligent bills of lading, offering enhanced security, transparency, and efficiency. However, the legal framework surrounding these digital innovations remains underdeveloped, presenting significant challenges to their widespread adoption.

2. The Concept and Technology of Intelligent Bills of Lading

Intelligent bills of lading leverage blockchain technology to create secure, tamper-proof digital documents. Unlike traditional electronic documents, blockchain-based bills of lading offer unique advantages such as decentralized storage, immutability, and real-time tracking.^[2] These features address many of the shortcomings of paper-based systems, including the risk of loss, forgery, and delays in document transfer.

The core components of blockchain technology, including distributed ledgers, consensus mechanisms, and smart contracts, form the foundation of intelligent bills of lading^[3]. Smart contracts, in particular, play a crucial role by automating the execution of contractual terms, thereby reducing the need for intermediaries and minimizing the potential for disputes.

3. Legal Challenges in Implementing Intelligent Bills of Lading

Despite their potential benefits, intelligent bills of lading face several legal hurdles. The primary challenges include:

3.1. Contract Validity

The legal recognition of digital signatures and electronic documents is a fundamental challenge for intelligent bills of lading. While many jurisdictions have enacted legislation to recognize electronic signatures, the specific requirements and standards vary widely. For instance, the European Union's eIDAS Regulation provides a comprehensive framework for electronic identification and trust services, but its application to blockchain-based documents remains uncertain.

The principle of functional equivalence, which aims to give electronic documents the same legal status as their paper counterparts, is not uniformly applied across different legal systems. This inconsistency creates uncertainty regarding the enforceability of intelligent bills of lading, particularly in cross-border transactions. Courts may struggle to determine the validity of blockchain-based contracts, especially when dealing with complex smart contract arrangements that automate certain aspects of the agreement.

Moreover, the immutability of blockchain records poses challenges to traditional contract law principles, such as the right to rectification or termination. Legal frameworks need to adapt to accommodate these technological features while maintaining the flexibility required in commercial relationships.

3.2. Property Rights

The transfer of ownership rights is a crucial function of bills of lading, acting as a document of title. In the digital realm, replicating this function securely and legally is complex. The concept of possession, traditionally tied to physical documents, needs redefinition in the context of digital assets.

Blockchain technology offers potential solutions through tokenization, where digital tokens represent ownership rights. However, the legal status of these tokens as property is not universally established.^[4] Questions arise regarding the precise moment of transfer, the finality of transactions, and the protection of bona fide purchasers in blockchain environments.

Additionally, the integration of intelligent bills of lading with existing property registration systems and financial institutions' collateral management practices presents significant challenges. Legal frameworks must evolve to recognize digital representations of property rights and provide clear mechanisms for their transfer and enforcement.

3.3. Cross-border Enforcement

The international nature of maritime trade amplifies the complexities of enforcing intelligent bills of lading across different jurisdictions. The lack of a harmonized global approach to electronic trade documents creates potential conflicts of law scenarios. For instance, a transaction involving parties from multiple countries may face differing legal treatments of electronic documents, leading to uncertainty in dispute resolution.

The principle of *lex loci contractus* (the law of the place where the contract is made) becomes ambiguous in blockchain environments, where transactions occur across distributed networks. Determining the applicable law and jurisdiction in such cases is challenging and may require new legal doctrines or international agreements.

Furthermore, the enforcement of judgments related to intelligent bills of lading across borders may face obstacles due to varying recognition of digital evidence and blockchain-based transactions in different legal systems. This inconsistency could undermine the efficiency gains promised by blockchain technology in international trade.

3.4. Liability and Risk Allocation

The decentralized and automated nature of blockchain systems introduces new considerations in determining liability. In traditional paper-based systems, responsibilities and liabilities are well-established. However, in blockchain networks, identifying the responsible party in case of system failures, data breaches, or smart contract errors becomes more complex.

Questions arise regarding the liability of various stakeholders, including platform developers, network participants, and smart contract creators. The concept of "code is law" in blockchain systems challenges traditional notions of contractual interpretation and party intent. Courts may struggle to allocate responsibility when automated processes execute transactions without direct human intervention.^[5]

Moreover, the immutability of blockchain records, while a security feature, can create challenges in correcting errors or fraudulent entries. Legal frameworks need to balance the benefits of immutability with mechanisms for rectification and dispute resolution.

The allocation of risks associated with cybersecurity threats, such as 51% attacks or quantum computing vulnerabilities, also requires careful consideration. As intelligent bills of lading systems become more integral to global trade, their security implications extend beyond individual transactions to potentially affecting entire supply chains.

Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach, combining technological solutions with legal innovations. Developing clear liability frameworks, establishing industry standards for blockchain implementations, and creating specialized dispute resolution mechanisms are crucial steps towards fostering trust and adoption of intelligent bills of lading in international trade.

4. Current Legal Frameworks and Their Limitations

Several international initiatives aim to address the legal challenges posed by electronic trade documents. The UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records provides a framework for the legal recognition of electronic transferable records. However, its adoption remains limited, and it does not specifically address the unique features of blockchain-based documents.^[6]

National legislation, such as Singapore's Electronic Transactions Act and the UK's Law Commission's proposals, represent significant steps towards accommodating electronic bills of lading^[7]. However, these efforts are still in their early stages and lack global uniformity.

5. Proposed Solutions and Regulatory Approaches

5.1. International Harmonization

Developing a unified international legal framework is crucial for the widespread adoption and recognition of intelligent bills of lading. This approach aims to create a consistent legal environment across different jurisdictions, reducing uncertainty and facilitating smoother cross-border transactions. Expanding existing conventions like the Rotterdam Rules or creating new international agreements specifically for blockchain-based trade documents could provide a comprehensive framework. Standardizing legal definitions and addressing jurisdictional issues are essential components of this process. While challenging, international harmonization is vital for creating a stable legal environment that supports the global adoption of intelligent bills of lading.

5.2. Regulatory Sandboxes

Implementing regulatory sandboxes provides a controlled environment for testing intelligent

bills of lading, allowing regulators to assess risks and develop appropriate legal responses.^[8] This approach offers advantages such as real-world testing, risk assessment, and regulatory learning. Sandboxes foster cooperation between regulators, technology providers, and industry participants, leading to more balanced and practical regulatory outcomes. To implement effective regulatory sandboxes, clear objectives and scope should be defined, along with criteria for participation and mechanisms for monitoring and evaluation. Regulatory sandboxes can serve as a bridge between innovation and regulation, providing a pathway for the gradual integration of intelligent bills of lading into existing legal frameworks.

5.3. Industry Self-Governance

Encouraging industry-led initiatives for standard-setting and platform governance can complement formal legal frameworks.^[9] This approach leverages the expertise of industry participants and can lead to more agile and responsive governance mechanisms. Key aspects include developing technical standards, establishing best practice guidelines, creating specialized dispute resolution mechanisms, and implementing certification programs. To implement effective industry self-governance, representative industry bodies or working groups should be established, focusing on intelligent bills of lading. A governance framework that balances the interests of different stakeholders should be developed, with mechanisms for regular review and updates to keep pace with technological advancements.

By combining these three approaches – international harmonization, regulatory sandboxes, and industry self-governance – a comprehensive and adaptive legal environment for intelligent bills of lading can be created. This multi-faceted strategy addresses the need for global consistency, practical testing, and industry expertise, paving the way for the widespread adoption of blockchain technology in international trade documentation.

6. Conclusion

The adoption of intelligent bills of lading represents a significant opportunity to revolutionize international trade.^[10] However, realizing this potential requires overcoming substantial legal challenges. A multi-faceted approach involving international harmonization, national legislation, and industry self-regulation is necessary to create a robust legal framework that supports innovation while ensuring legal certainty and protection for all stakeholders.

As blockchain technology continues to evolve, so too must the legal landscape. Future research should focus on developing flexible legal frameworks that can adapt to technological advancements while maintaining the fundamental principles of contract law and property rights. The successful integration of intelligent bills of lading into the global trade ecosystem will depend on the ability of legal systems to keep pace with technological innovation, ensuring that the benefits of digital transformation can be fully realized in the maritime sector.

References

- [1] Balkin R. *The international maritime organization and maritime security*[J]. *Tul. Mar. LJ*, 2006, 30: 1.
- [2] Dubovec M. *The Problems and Possibilities for Using Electronic Bills of Lading as Collateral* [J]. *Arizona Journal of International & Comparative Law*, 2006, 23(2): 437-466.
- [3] Wang F. *Blockchain Bills of Lading and Their Future Regulation* [J]. *NUS Centre for Maritime Law Working Paper*, 2021, 21/01.
- [4] Wunderlich S, Saive D. *The electronic bill of lading: Challenges of paperless trade*[C]//*International Congress on Blockchain and Applications*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019: 93-100.

- [5] Takahashi K. *Blockchain Technology and Electronic Bills of Lading* [J]. *Journal of International Maritime Law*, 2016, 22: 202-211.
- [6] UNCITRAL. *Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records* [S]. *United Nations Commission on International Trade Law*, 2017.
- [7] Erh J. *Singapore's Digital Transformation Journey*[J]. *Journal of Southeast Asian Economies*, 2023, 40(1): 4-31.
- [8] Todd P. *Electronic bills of lading, blockchains and smart contracts*[J]. *International Journal of Law and Information Technology*, 2019, 27(4): 339-371.
- [9] Song H. *The application of electronic certificates for ships in China: an ecosystem-based approach*[J]. *World Maritime University*, 2021.
- [10] Shope M L. *The bill of lading on the blockchain: an analysis of its compatibility with international rules on commercial transactions*[J]. *Minn. JL Sci. & Tech.*, 2020, 22: 163.