Science of Law Journal (2025)
Clausius Scientific Press, Canada

DOI: 10.23977/law.2025.040115
ISSN 2616-2296 Vol. 4 Num. 1

New Challenges and Legal Responses to Intellectual Property Protection in the Digital Era

Wang Yanyu

Shanghai All Bright Law Firm (Beijing Office), Beijing, 201101, China

Keywords: Digital Era, Intellectual Property Protection, Legal Response, Technological Challenges, Cross-Border Infringement, Blockchain Technology, Artificial Intelligence

Abstract: With the rapid development of digital technology, intellectual property protection faces unprecedented new challenges. The convenience of digital replication and dissemination, the issue of copyright ownership of AI-generated content, and the legal application of cross-border intellectual property infringement have all posed severe tests to the existing legal framework for intellectual property. This paper aims to explore the new challenges faced by intellectual property protection in the digital era and analyze the existing legal framework's response measures and their shortcomings. Through an in-depth analysis of the technical, legal, and economic dimensions, this paper reveals the complexity of intellectual property protection in the digital era. The study finds that although international and domestic legal frameworks have been revised multiple times to meet the needs of the digital era, there are still issues such as legal lag behind technological development and imperfect mechanisms for cross-border intellectual property protection. To this end, this paper proposes improvement suggestions such as strengthening international cooperation, introducing new technological means, and increasing infringement compensation standards. The research of this paper not only provides theoretical support for improving the legal framework of intellectual property protection but also points out the direction for the future integration of law and technology.

1. Introduction

Driven by digital technology, the ways of global information dissemination and knowledge sharing have undergone earth-shaking changes. However, these changes have also brought new challenges to intellectual property protection. The convenience of digital replication and dissemination has made infringement activities more concealed and widespread, the issue of copyright ownership of AI-generated content has sparked extensive discussions in the legal community, and the legal application of cross-border intellectual property infringement has become a focus of international attention. This paper aims to explore the new challenges faced by intellectual property protection in the digital era and analyze the existing legal framework's response measures and their shortcomings. Through literature review, case analysis, and comparative research, this paper reveals the complexity of intellectual property protection in the

digital era and proposes corresponding improvement suggestions[1]. The research of this paper not only provides theoretical support for improving the legal framework of intellectual property protection but also points out the direction for the future integration of law and technology.

2. New Challenges to Intellectual Property Protection in the Digital Era

In the rapid development of digital technology, intellectual property protection is facing unprecedented new challenges. Firstly, the convenience of digital replication and dissemination has made infringement activities more concealed and widespread. Traditional intellectual property protection methods appear inadequate in the digital environment, as digital content can be easily copied, modified, and disseminated with almost no trace. For example, pirated movies, music, and e-books on the internet can spread globally in a short time, while infringers are often difficult to trace. This low-cost infringement not only harms the economic interests of rights holders but also weakens the motivation of innovators, negatively impacting the entire society's innovation ecosystem.

Secondly, the issue of copyright ownership for content generated by artificial intelligence has become a hot topic in the legal community. With the advancement of AI technology, an increasing amount of content, such as news reports, music compositions, and artworks, is being generated by machines. However, whether such AI-generated content is eligible for copyright protection and who should own the copyright are questions that current laws have not clearly addressed. If copyright is granted to the developers or users of AI, it may lead to new legal disputes; if not, it could result in the misuse of such content, harming the interests of relevant parties[2]. The complexity of this issue lies in the fact that AI's creative process often involves vast amounts of data and algorithms, making it difficult to define within the traditional framework of copyright law.

Moreover, the legal application of cross-border intellectual property infringement has become increasingly prominent. In the context of globalization, intellectual property infringement often spans multiple countries and regions, and the significant differences in legal systems across jurisdictions make the identification and accountability of infringement activities exceptionally complex. For instance, if a trademark registered in Country A is infringed upon in Country B, the rights holder may need to initiate legal proceedings in Country B. However, due to differences in legal procedures and language barriers, the cost of enforcement is high and the efficiency is low. Additionally, some countries and regions place insufficient emphasis on intellectual property protection, and local protectionism further exacerbates the difficulty of cross-border enforcement.

Finally, the rapid development of digital technology has led to a lag in the existing legal framework behind technological advancements. Although international and domestic legal frameworks have been revised multiple times to meet the needs of the digital era, the formulation and amendment of laws often take considerable time, while the pace of technological innovation accelerates[3]. For example, the application of blockchain technology offers new possibilities for intellectual property protection, but its legal status and scope of application remain unclear. Similarly, the widespread adoption of big data and cloud computing technologies has made the management and protection of intellectual property more complex, yet relevant laws have not fully kept pace with technological progress. This lag between law and technology not only weakens the effectiveness of intellectual property protection but may also lead to uncertainty and disputes in legal application.

In summary, intellectual property protection in the digital era faces multiple challenges, including the convenience of digital replication and dissemination, the copyright ownership of AI-generated content, the legal application of cross-border infringement, and the lag of law behind technological development. These challenges involve not only technical aspects but also legal and

economic dimensions, requiring joint efforts from the international community, governments, legal professionals, and the technology sector. By strengthening international cooperation, introducing new technological means, and improving legal frameworks, a more effective intellectual property protection system can be established to address the new challenges of the digital era and promote the healthy development of innovation and knowledge sharing.

3. Responses of the Existing Legal Framework

In addressing the new challenges of intellectual property protection in the digital era, the existing legal framework needs to adopt a series of measures to adapt to the demands of technological development. Firstly, the legal framework should strengthen the regulation and control of digital replication and dissemination. By introducing stricter technical protection measures and digital watermarking technologies, it becomes possible to effectively track and identify infringing content. For example, copyright holders can embed unique identifiers in digital content, enabling them to quickly locate infringers and take legal action once infringement is detected. Additionally, the law should clarify the responsibilities of internet service providers, requiring them to actively monitor and promptly address infringements on their platforms, thereby reducing the occurrence and spread of such activities.

Secondly, regarding the issue of copyright ownership for content generated by artificial intelligence, the legal framework needs to provide clear definitions and regulations. On one hand, the law can stipulate that content generated by AI is eligible for copyright protection if it meets certain originality standards, while clearly assigning ownership to the developers or users of the AI. On the other hand, the law should establish corresponding filing and registration systems to ensure transparency and traceability of copyright information for AI-generated content, thereby minimizing potential disputes. For instance, developers can automatically record the creation process and algorithm parameters when generating content, serving as evidence for copyright ownership[4]. Furthermore, the law should encourage relevant parties to clarify rights and obligations through contractual agreements.

Moreover, the legal framework should enhance international cooperation to address the challenges of cross-border intellectual property infringement. By signing multilateral or bilateral agreements, countries can align standards, enforcement procedures, and judicial assistance in intellectual property protection, thereby improving the efficiency and effectiveness of cross-border enforcement. For example, a unified international platform for intellectual property protection could be established, allowing rights holders to submit infringement complaints, with relevant national enforcement agencies collaborating to address them. Simultaneously, the law should strengthen international sanctions against infringers, such as cross-border asset freezes or restrictions on international business activities, to create a strong deterrent.

Finally, the legal framework should maintain flexibility to adapt to the rapid pace of technological development. By establishing a dynamic legal amendment mechanism, the law can promptly respond to the challenges and opportunities brought by new technologies. For instance, a dedicated technical evaluation committee could be set up to regularly assess the impact of new technologies and propose corresponding legal amendments. Additionally, the law should encourage the integration of technological innovation and intellectual property protection, such as leveraging blockchain technology to record and manage intellectual property information, thereby enhancing transparency and traceability. At the same time, the law should strengthen public education on intellectual property, raising awareness across society and creating a favorable environment for the effective implementation of legal measures.

In summary, in addressing the new challenges of intellectual property protection in the digital era,

the existing legal framework needs to strengthen regulation of digital replication and dissemination, clarify copyright ownership for AI-generated content, enhance international cooperation, and maintain legal flexibility. These measures will help build a more comprehensive and effective intellectual property protection system, not only addressing current technological challenges but also providing a solid legal foundation for future technological innovation and knowledge sharing.

4. Shortcomings and Improvement Suggestions for Legal Responses

Although the existing legal framework has adopted a series of measures to address the new challenges of intellectual property protection in the digital era, there are still some shortcomings that urgently need improvement. Firstly, the law often lacks sufficient flexibility and foresight in dealing with digital replication and dissemination. With the rapid development of technology, the forms and methods of infringement are constantly evolving, while the pace of legal updates lags behind, making it difficult to regulate new types of infringements in a timely and effective manner. For example, the rise of deepfake technology has made the dissemination of false content more covert and widespread, yet existing laws lack clear definitions and penalties for such behaviors. Therefore, the law should establish a more dynamic amendment mechanism, regularly assess the potential impact of new technologies, and quickly formulate or adjust relevant regulations to ensure it keeps pace with technological advancements.

Secondly, in terms of copyright ownership for content generated by artificial intelligence, the existing legal framework has yet to establish unified and clear rules. Since the creation process of AI-generated content involves multiple factors such as algorithms, data, and human intervention, the issue of copyright ownership becomes complex and difficult to define. For instance, when AI-generated content is based on a large amount of copyrighted data, whether it constitutes infringement and how to allocate copyright interests remain unclear under current laws. To address this, the law should further refine the copyright rules for AI-generated content, clarify the rights and obligations of different participants, and establish corresponding filing and registration systems to ensure transparency and traceability of copyright information. Additionally, the law should encourage relevant parties to specify copyright ownership through contractual agreements to further reduce potential disputes.

Moreover, the cooperation mechanism for cross-border intellectual property protection still needs strengthening. Although the international community has reached some consensus on intellectual property protection, significant differences in legal standards and enforcement efforts among countries remain, leading to suboptimal outcomes in cross-border rights protection. For example, some countries have relatively lenient laws on intellectual property protection, even becoming "havens" for infringements. Therefore, the law should promote closer international cooperation, unify standards and enforcement procedures for intellectual property protection through multilateral or bilateral agreements, and establish efficient cross-border collaboration platforms to make it easier for rights holders to protect their interests. At the same time, the law should strengthen international sanctions against infringements, such as cross-border asset freezes or restrictions on international business activities, thereby creating a stronger deterrent.

Finally, public awareness of intellectual property protection still needs improvement. Although the law has been continuously refined at the institutional level, many infringements occur due to a lack of public understanding of intellectual property protection. For example, some users may inadvertently disseminate infringing content without realizing the legal consequences of their actions. Therefore, the law should strengthen public education on intellectual property, raising societal awareness through various forms of publicity and training. Additionally, the law should encourage businesses and platforms to take proactive social responsibility, guiding users to legally

use and protect intellectual property through technological measures and user agreements.

In summary, the existing legal framework still faces issues such as insufficient flexibility, unclear rules, inadequate international cooperation, and weak public awareness in addressing the new challenges of intellectual property protection in the digital era. To address these shortcomings, the law should establish a more dynamic amendment mechanism, refine copyright rules for AI-generated content, enhance international cooperation, and improve public awareness of intellectual property protection. Through these improvements, the legal framework will be better equipped to meet the demands of technological development, providing a more solid legal foundation for intellectual property protection.

5. Conclusion

Intellectual property protection in the digital era faces unprecedented new challenges, which involve not only technical aspects but also legal and economic aspects. Although international and domestic legal frameworks have been revised multiple times to meet the needs of the digital era, there are still issues such as legal lag behind technological development and imperfect mechanisms for cross-border intellectual property protection. To this end, this paper proposes improvement suggestions such as strengthening international cooperation, introducing new technological means, and increasing infringement compensation standards. In the future, with the continuous advancement of technology, the legal framework for intellectual property protection needs to be constantly updated and improved to meet new challenges. The research of this paper not only provides theoretical support for improving the legal framework of intellectual property protection but also points out the direction for the future integration of law and technology. It is hoped that the research of this paper can provide useful references for scholars and practitioners in related fields and jointly promote the development of intellectual property protection in the digital era.

References

[1] Zheng Shiyi. Research on the Formation Logic, Practical Issues, and Improvement Paths of the Data Intellectual Property Registration System [J/OL]. Big Data, 1-22 [2025-03-04].

[2] Huang Jinglei. Research on Intellectual Property Compliance Management in State-Owned Digital Technology Enterprises [J]. Enterprise Management, 2025, (02): 121-123.

[3] Jia Jiali. Research on the Impact of Digital Intellectual Property Protection on the Innovation Development of Industrial Enterprises in China [J]. Modern Industrial Economy and Informatization, 2025, 15(01): 1-3. DOI: 10.16525/j.cnki.14-1362/n.2025.01.001.

[4] Guo Liang, Zhao Jingyi. The Ideal Orientation and Path Selection for Cultivating Master's Degree Talents in Intellectual Property in the Era of Digital Economy: A Perspective Based on the Triple Attributes of Intellectual Property [J]. China University Science and Technology, 2025, (01): 39-46. DOI: 10.16209/j.cnki.cust.2025.01.024.