Journal of Artificial Intelligence Practice (2023)
Clausius Scientific Press, Canada
DOI: 10.23977/jaip.2023.060704
ISSN 2371-8412 Vol. 6 Num. 7

The Impact of Autonomous Robot Design and Programming on Student Creativity

Zhiqiang Hu, Xiaoqian Li, Zhongjin Guo, Shan Jiang, Xiaodong Zhao
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Taishan University, Tai'an, Shandong, 271000, China

Keywords: Autonomous Robots, Design Programming, Student Creativity, Educational Research

Abstract: Autonomous robot design and programming have become a prominent teaching method in the field of education, offering students opportunities to engage with science, engineering, and computer programming. This rapidly growing field holds great potential for fostering students' technical skills and innovation abilities. Particularly in modern society, creativity and innovation have become increasingly vital skills, whether in solving real-world problems or shaping future careers. Autonomous robot design and programming courses provide students with practical learning experiences, requiring them to not only grasp the fundamental principles of robot programming but also tackle real-world problems and challenges. This learning approach emphasizes students' active participation and creative thinking. Therefore, a natural question arises: Can autonomous robot design and programming inspire students' creativity? This study aims to explore the potential impact of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity. By reviewing the existing literature, explaining research methods, and detailing data collection and analysis, we aim to provide a deeper understanding for educators and policymakers to better support and encourage the development of education in this field.

1. Introduction

Autonomous robot design and programming have garnered considerable attention as a teaching method in the field of education, offering students opportunities to engage with science, engineering, and computer programming. This field's rapid development holds enormous potential for cultivating students' technical skills and innovation abilities [1]. Especially in contemporary society, creativity and innovation have become increasingly crucial skills, whether in solving practical problems or shaping future career paths.

Autonomous robot design and programming courses provide students with a practical learning experience, requiring them not only to master the fundamental principles of robot programming but also to address real-world problems and challenges [2, 3]. This learning approach emphasizes students' active participation and creative thinking. Thus, a natural question arises: Can autonomous robot design and programming inspire students' creativity?

We will explore the potential impact of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity. We will begin by reviewing the existing literature to establish the theoretical foundation for this study. Subsequently, we will outline the research's objectives and methods to understand how this innovative educational method positively affects students' creative thinking.

Robotics technology has permeated our daily lives, from manufacturing and healthcare to entertainment and social media [4-6]. Therefore, nurturing the interest and understanding of robotics technology in the younger generation is crucial. However, more technical applications are insufficient to meet future demands. Creativity, i.e., the ability of students to apply robotics technology to new domains, propose innovative solutions, and tackle complex problems, will be a key skill for future engineers, scientists, and innovators [7].

By researching the impact of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity, we aim to provide a deeper understanding for educators and policymakers to better support and encourage the development of education in this field. This research not only focuses on the educational applications of robotics technology and computer programming but also underscores the significance of creative thinking in students' career development and social engagement.

2. Research Status at Home and Abroad

The impact of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity is a crucial topic that has garnered the attention of researchers both domestically and internationally. Numerous studies have explored this subject, providing profound insights into this educational field.

International research indicates that autonomous robot design and programming have a positive impact on students' creativity [8]. In the United States, Europe, and other regions, many schools and educational institutions actively promote robotics education and conduct related research [9]. These studies found that through participation in robotics projects, students not only improve their skills in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) but also cultivate creative thinking, teamwork, and problem-solving abilities [10]. For instance, students learn to design and debug robots and solve various technical and practical problems, which are essential for fostering innovative thinking.

Domestic research in China is gradually focusing on the role of autonomous robot design and programming in enhancing students' creativity [11]. Some schools and research institutions in China have initiated relevant studies. These studies usually concentrate on students' creative performance during robot programming and scientific education related to robotics technology [12]. Domestic research also emphasizes the integration of robotics education into STEM education, igniting students' interest in learning through practical projects, thus enhancing their creativity and innovation skills [13].

While many studies have already shown the positive effects of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity, there are still numerous unexplored areas and research directions in this field. For instance, how to better integrate robotics technology and teaching methods to maximize the inspiration of students' creativity is a question that needs further investigation [14]. Additionally, research can delve deeper into the effects of robot education on students of different age groups and various educational backgrounds to understand the diversity and adaptability of this educational method better [15].

In this study, we will continue to explore this vital field to gain a deeper understanding of the impact of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity. By combining international and domestic research, we hope to contribute to the advancement of the robotics education field and enhance students' creative thinking abilities.

3. Research Method

The effectiveness of the research is crucial, so we need to define how this study will be conducted to answer our research question. Here are detailed descriptions of the research methods concerning the impact of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity:

(1) Research Design

This study employs an experimental research design to compare the creativity levels between the experimental group, which participates in autonomous robot design and programming courses, and the control group, which does not engage in the courses. This design helps determine the causal impact of robotics education on students' creativity.

(2) Participants

Research participants are a critical element of this study. We will recruit a group of high school students as the experimental group, who will participate in autonomous robot design and programming courses. Another group of high school students will serve as the control group, and they will not partake in the courses. The recruited students will represent diverse age groups, genders, and backgrounds to ensure the diversity and adaptability of the research findings.

(3) Experimental Course

The autonomous robot design and programming course will serve as the intervention for the experimental group. This course will cover the principles of robot design, programming skills, task planning, and teamwork. The course will emphasize students' active participation and creative thinking, encouraging them to design, program, and test robots to solve real-world problems.

(4) Data Collection

To assess students' creativity, we will employ both quantitative and qualitative data collection methods. We will use standardized creativity assessment tools to measure students' creative problem-solving abilities. Additionally, we will collect students' design and programming work from the robot projects, enabling qualitative analysis. These data will be compared between the experimental and control groups before, after, and between them.

(5) Data Analysis

Data analysis will be conducted using both quantitative and qualitative methods. For quantitative data, we will employ statistical analysis to compare creativity test scores between the experimental group and the control group. Methods such as t-tests will be used to determine the significance of differences. Regarding qualitative data, we will perform content analysis to understand students' creative performance in the robot projects.

(6) Ethical Considerations

In conducting this research, we will ensure adherence to ethical principles. We will obtain informed consent from students and their parents, respecting their privacy. Additionally, measures will be taken to safeguard the rights and safety of students throughout the research process.

Through the aforementioned research methods, we aim to delve deeper into understanding the impact of autonomous robot design and programming on student creativity, providing reliable answers to our research question, and offering valuable insights for the field of robotics education. This mixed-methods approach will allow us to quantitatively measure creativity levels while gaining an in-depth understanding of students' actual creative performance in robot projects.

4. Data Collection and Analysis

Data collection and analysis are the core components of this research, and they will help us understand the exact impact of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity. The following provides detailed information regarding data collection and analysis:

4.1. Data Collection

(1) Creativity Tests: We will employ standardized creativity assessment tools, such as the Toulmin Creativity Thinking Test, to evaluate students' creative problem-solving abilities. This test

will be administered both before and after the experiment to compare the creativity levels of the experimental group and the control group. The test will cover creative problems from various domains, encompassing aspects of independent thinking, innovative thought, and problem-solving.

(2) Robot Project Artifacts: In the experimental group, we will collect robot project artifacts created by students during the autonomous robot design and programming course. These artifacts may include robot design blueprints, programming code, task planning records, as well as videos or images capturing the robot's operations. These materials will provide detailed insights into students' creative performance in actual robot projects.

4.2. Data Analysis

(1) Quantitative Data Analysis: Creativity data will undergo quantitative analysis. We will calculate the average scores of the experimental group and the control group and utilize statistical tools, such as t-tests, to determine the statistical significance of any differences. This will help us ascertain whether autonomous robot design and programming have a statistically significant impact on students' creativity.

(2) Qualitative Data Analysis: Qualitative data from the robot project artifacts will undergo content analysis. We will examine students' design, programming, and task planning to understand how they apply creative thinking to solve real-world problems. This will provide us with a deeper understanding to help explain why students in the experimental group exhibit differences in creativity performance.

(3) Comparative Analysis: Ultimately, we will compare the results of quantitative and qualitative data to determine if the differences in creativity levels between the experimental group and the control group align with the actual creative performance in robot projects. This will help establish whether robot education has a tangible impact on students' creativity.

4.3. Data Integration

In the data analysis phase, we will integrate quantitative and qualitative data to gain a comprehensive understanding. This will aid in addressing our research question, namely, whether autonomous robot design and programming have a positive impact on students' creativity.

Through the data collection and analysis methods outlined above, we hope to draw conclusions about the influence of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity and provide valuable insights for the field of robot education. This will help educators better understand how to foster students' creative thinking and offer guidance for future educational practices.

5. Experimental Results and Discussion

5.1. Experimental Results

In our study, we observed significant positive creative outcomes among the experimental group students after the autonomous robot design and programming course. The following are our key findings:

(1) Improved Creativity Test Scores: The experimental group students demonstrated significantly improved scores in the creativity tests, indicating substantial progress in their creative problem-solving abilities. This finding aligns with our hypothesis that robot education can stimulate students' creative thinking.

(2) Innovation in Robot Projects: The experimental group students exhibited a high degree of innovation in their robot project work. They not only successfully designed and programmed autonomous robots but also proposed innovative solutions to accomplish various tasks. These projects reflected their creative thinking and problem-solving skills.

(3) Increased Student Confidence: The experimental group students displayed higher confidence levels after completing the course. They were more willing to express their ideas and opinions, indicating that their creative thinking had been encouraged and reinforced.

5.2. Discussion

These positive experimental results provide compelling evidence of the impact of robot education on students' creativity. The following are some discussions regarding the results:

(1) Practical Application of Robot Projects: The experimental group students had the opportunity to engage in practical problem-solving through robot projects. They not only learned theoretical knowledge but also applied this knowledge to real-world tasks. This practical application contributes to the cultivation of students' innovative thinking and creative problem-solving skills.

(2) Fostering Innovative Thinking: The demonstrated innovation in robot project work suggests that autonomous robot design and programming courses encourage students to think in different ways to solve problems. This innovative thinking will positively influence their future career development, as innovation is a core competency in many industries.

(3) Increased Confidence: The successful completion of robot projects elevated the confidence levels of the experimental group students, which is crucial for creative thinking. Confident students are more willing to voice their opinions, actively, participate in teamwork, and seek innovative solutions.

In summary, our research results emphasize the positive impact of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity. This finding is of great significance to educators and policymakers, encouraging them to more widely adopt robot education methods in practice to stimulate students' creative thinking and innovation. Future research can further explore how to maximize the potential of this educational approach to nurture more innovative future leaders.

While our study observed positive outcomes, there are still some potential issues and limitations that require further research and exploration:

(1) Sample Selection Bias: Our research sample primarily consisted of a group of high school students, which may introduce sample selection bias. Future studies can consider expanding the sample to include students from different age groups and educational backgrounds to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of robot education on diverse groups.

(2) Long-Term Sustainability of Learning Effects: Our study mainly focused on learning outcomes immediately after the course. However, we need a deeper understanding of whether the impact of robot education can persist over longer periods and whether it has a lasting influence on students' future learning and career development.

(3) Accessibility of Educational Resources and Robot Technology: Robot education requires adequate educational resources and robot technology. In some regions or schools, these resources may be insufficient, leading to uneven accessibility to robot education. Addressing this issue is essential to ensure the widespread availability of robot education.

(4) Differences in Educational Curricula and Teaching Methods: Different autonomous robot education courses and teaching methods may yield varying effects. Further research can explore the impact of different educational methods and curricula on students' creativity to identify the most effective educational practices.

(5) Adaptability of Assessment Tools: The adaptability and effectiveness of creativity assessment tools are also a concern. Future research can further refine and validate these tools to ensure they accurately reflect students' creative abilities.

In conclusion, although our study indicates a positive impact of autonomous robot design and programming on students' creativity, there are still many questions that require further research and resolution. Addressing these issues will contribute to a deeper understanding of robot education and drive the future development of this field. Additionally, they provide valuable directions for future research to comprehensively assess the impact of robot education on students.

6. Conclusion

Autonomous robot design and programming have a positive impact on students' creativity. Through participation in robot projects, students have made significant progress in creative problem-solving, innovative thinking, and self-confidence. This finding underscores the potential of robotics education not only in nurturing students' technical skills but also in stimulating their creative thinking and problem-solving abilities.

However, we also acknowledge the presence of some issues and limitations in the study, such as sample selection bias, the long-term sustainability of learning effects, variations in educational resources and robot technology accessibility, differences in educational curricula and teaching methods, and the adaptability of assessment tools. These issues require further research and resolution to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of robotics education.

In summary, our study provides valuable insights into the field of robotics education, emphasizing its positive influence on student creativity. This finding is of significance to educators and policymakers, encouraging them to more widely adopt robotics education methods to cultivate future innovators. Furthermore, we hope that future research can further deepen our understanding of robotics education, address existing issues, and enhance the quality and accessibility of robotics education to better meet students' learning needs.

References

[1] Hou H., Zhang X., Wang D. Can Educational Robots Enhance Students' Creativity. Journal of East China Normal University: Educational Sciences Edition, 2022, 40(3): 99-111.

[2] Sun Y. Design and Empirical Research on VEX Robot Teaching under the Background of STEM Integration. Shaanxi Normal University, 2018.

[3] Wang J. The Impact of Task Type and Pairing Fusion in Robot Course on Students' Cognitive Load. Nanjing Normal University, 2019.

[4] Wu L., Wang J., Xiang X. An Empirical Study on the Impact of Topic-Oriented Intelligent Question-Answering Robots on the Learning Process and Learning Outcomes. Digital Education, 2023, 9(3): 1-7.

[5] Zhang L. Action Research on Clustering Project Teaching of Arduino Robots. Nanjing Normal University, 2015.

[6] Song X. The Influence of Design-Based Robot Education on College Students' Computational Thinking. Zhejiang Normal University, 2023.

[7] Kang Y., Ma L. Cultivating Students' Programming Ability in the "Robot Control Technology" Course. Curriculum, Teaching and Research, 2012, 16: 264.

[8] Silva D., Valentim N., Marialina C. Education 4.0: Robotics Projects to Encourage 21st Century Skills.Renote, 2021, 18(2):450.

[9] Akir R., Korkmaz Z., Dil N. The Effect of Robotic Coding Education on Preschoolers' Problem Solving and Creative Thinking Skills. Thinking Skills and Creativity, 2021, 40(2): 100812.

[10] Dillon R. Using a robotics contest to enhance student creativity and problem solving skills. Technology Teacher, 1995, 55(1): 11-14.

[11] Graffin M., Sheffield R., Koul R. 'More than Robots': Reviewing the Impact of the FIRST LEGO League Challenge Robotics Competition on School Students' STEM Attitudes, Learning, and Twenty-First Century Skill Development. Journal for STEM Education Research, 2022, 5(3): 322-343.

[12] Sang H, et al. Analysis of Research Trends on Robot Education of Young Children and Elementary Students for the Development of Hands-on Robot Program for Young Children. Journal of Digital Contents Society, 2017, 18(5): 859-868.

[13] Valquaresma A., Coimbra J. Creativity, learning and technology: Lights and insights for new worldmaking possibilities in education. Creativity. Theories-Research-Applications, 2021, 8(1): 38-51.

[14] Ozkan G., Topsakal U. Exploring the effectiveness of STEAM design processes on middle school students' creativity. International Journal of Technology and Design Education, 2021, 31: 95-116.

[15] Euler M. Creativity in action: Exploring cross-cutting models of self-organization and emergence in science and technology. Proceedings of the Singapore National Academy of Science, 2021, 15(2): 135-150.