Exploring Effective Leadership in Early Childhood Education

Yinfei Wang

UCL Institute of Education, University College London, London, UK
qtnzyw0@ucl.ac.uk

Keywords: Distributed leadership, pedagogical leadership, leadership practices

Abstract: This paper explores the influence of leadership paradigms on early childhood education (ECE), emphasizing how effective leadership enhances pedagogical outcomes and molds educational practices. Employing a comprehensive literature review as its primary research methodology, this study examines the concept of distributed leadership, which has attracted substantial scholarly attention for its potential to improve educational quality. Despite its prominence, the detailed dynamics, and wider implications of these leadership styles within ECE settings remain inadequately explored. This essay conducts a critical analysis of effective leadership, emphasizing the significance of pedagogical leadership. This leadership style, based on the foundational ethics of care in ECE, is critically examined alongside distributed leadership models. The paper also examines socio-cultural challenges influencing leadership practices, with particular focus on the constraints imposed by global standards and neoliberal policies. By including the viewpoints of educators, the analysis underscores their crucial role and the challenges they encounter within the frameworks of distributed and pedagogical leadership. The findings aim to elucidate the dynamics of leadership in ECE and suggest practical strategies for fostering leadership that enhances educational outcomes.

1. Introduction

The critical role of leadership in early childhood education (ECE) is a major global academic focus. Studies explore how leadership paradigms impact educational practices and children's learning outcomes[1-5]. Distributed leadership and its attributes have attracted significant scholarly interest. However, understanding the specific dynamics and consequences of these leadership models in ECE is still lacking. This essay critically analyzes effective leadership in ECE, emphasizing pedagogical leadership, socio-cultural challenges, and the impact of globalized standards and neoliberal policies on leadership approaches, incorporating educators' perspectives and goals.

2. Exploring leadership definitions in Early Childhood Education and Care

The nature of leadership in Early Childhood Education and Care (ECEC) remains a vigorously debated topic within academic circles. Douglass [6] (2019) identifies leadership as a critical determinant of organizational performance, advocating for its pivotal role in fostering innovation and

enhancing quality within ECEC environments. Despite the acknowledged significance of leadership, empirical research that robustly supports these assertions is notably scarce. The sector is often characterized by a perceived deficiency in leadership[6-8] , with scant literature available and a lack of agreement on how leadership should be defined or manifested within this context[4]. Thornton[9] (2005) highlights a prevalent ambiguity in how leadership is perceived by professionals within the field, who often view themselves more as educators and child developers than as leaders[10]. Supporting this argument, Rodd[11] (2006) notes the absence of a universally accepted definition of leadership in early education, further complicating professional identity and role clarity. Educators in this field face numerous obstacles including limited access to seasoned mentors, reluctance to take on authoritative roles, and a scarcity of dedicated leadership training and development programs[12]. These challenges contribute to a fragmented understanding of leadership within early childhood education.

Moreover, the lack of leadership theories specifically designed for early childhood contexts often compels those in leadership roles to draw upon insights from other disciplines. Despite these hurdles, ongoing efforts are being made to investigate the nature and impact of leadership across various ECEC settings. These initiatives aim to evaluate how effectively leadership can improve the quality of early childhood education and care, promising potential advancements in how leadership is conceptualized and implemented within the sector.

Considering the multifaceted nature of the term 'leadership,' defining it precisely is essential[6]. Hollander[13] (1978) interprets leadership as an influential dynamic between leaders and their followers. Siraj[14] (2013) sharpens this concept, asserting that leadership facilitates organizational transformation and enhancement, functioning as a channel for both individual and professional development. Douglass[6] (2019) echoes this perspective, asserting that leadership involves influencing change or action to achieve a common goal or objective within an organization or system. Despite extensive deliberations by different scholars, a clear definition remains elusive, especially considering the complexities present in early childhood educational environments.

3. Efficient leadership in early childhood educational settings

The effectiveness of leadership is widely recognized as a key factor in achieving organizational improvement[15].

Waniganayake et al.[16] (2012) posit that leadership within early childhood education encapsulates a tripartite framework: the inherent characteristics of the individuals involved (traits, values, personality), the specific setting in which the leadership is enacted (early childhood environment), and the formal role or authority bestowed upon leaders (assigned leadership role). Klevering and McNae[17] (2018) assert that within early childhood education, effective leadership entails pedagogical proficiency, fostering trust-based relationships, delegating authority, nurturing a positive organizational culture, and exemplifying a role model. Siraj-Blatchford et al.[18] (2007) identify effective leadership in early childhood education as centered around the creation of a shared vision and understanding, where excellent communication and a culture of professional learning are cultivated to enhance staff cohesion and improve outcomes for children. According to Bloom[19](2000), leaders in this field must demonstrate proficiency in three key areas: knowledge, skills, and disposition. Additionally, essential attributes such as patience, enthusiasm, benevolence, a goal-oriented approach, the ability to foster positive working relationships with staff, responsiveness to parental needs, and effective communication skills. A wealth of research underscores the essential nature of effective leadership in early educational environments, showing that decisive leadership is pivotal for implementing high-quality care and educational programs[3,4]. As defined by Leithwood and Riehl[20] (2004) , leadership involves activities that mobilize staff towards unified goals and

visions. Effective leadership is crucial for maintaining the standard of educational offerings and enhancing children's developmental and cognitive growth. Specifically, Fonsén et al.[2] (2022) emphasize the importance of pedagogical leadership in maintaining the integrity of high-quality early childhood education programs. This form of leadership is indicative of the level of instruction provided by educators and is fundamentally linked to improving children's well-being and educational outcomes[1,5].

4. Pedagogical leadership in ECE

Pedagogical Leadership (PL) is a complex and multi-dimensional concept focused on enhancing the educational process within learning environments. The primary aim of pedagogical leadership is to support the educational framework, ensuring that pedagogical strategies and expected results are in the best interests of the learners[2,21-25]. Abel[26] (2016) highlights the importance of PL in supporting the instructional capabilities of teaching staff, particularly in curriculum implementation.

4.1. The dual focus of pedagogical leadership

However, the impact of PL extends beyond pedagogical guidance or administrative oversight. Sergiovanni[27] (1998) defines it as an ongoing investment of resources and dedication aimed at developing academic capital for students while fostering the intellectual and professional growth of educators. This dual emphasis on students and educators highlights the inherent value of PL, which, in addition to fostering a rich learning environment actively cultivates various forms of human capital to ensure improved learning outcomes. Within PL, human capital refers to the critical knowledge, skills, and competencies that leaders leverage to efficiently direct, assess, and execute instructional practices. As elucidated by Fonsén[28] (2014), explains that this involves understanding curriculum objectives, high-quality teaching methodologies, critical reflection and justifying instructional decisions. This human capital significantly affects the quality and effectiveness of pedagogical leadership. Leaders with extensive knowledge thoroughly examine their methods, make informed decisions, and defend their choices from an educational standpoint. Their academic background, enhanced by continuous professional development and research, guarantees expertise in contemporary instructional methodologies, which are indispensable for orchestrating comprehensive curriculum design and implementation[29]. Effective leadership in early childhood education and care is intricately connected to the human capital attributes of leaders.

4.2. Integrating managerial functions in pedagogical leadership

Deep knowledge provides the basis; however, leaders need to rigorously assess the instructional approaches being used, employ a range of evaluation tools, and understand differences in instructional efficacy. Furthermore, Lahtero and Kuusilehto-Awale[30] (2015)define pedagogical leadership as a blend of leadership and managerial roles. This encompasses direct instructional tasks such as curriculum design, alongside indirect skills that enhance instructional governance. The indirect leadership competencies outlined by Lahtero and Kuusilehto-Awale[30] (2015) highlight crucial managerial skills necessary for leaders, including the interpretation of curricula, nurturing a culture of ongoing learning and development, and overseeing administrative functions and personnel management. Ultimately, the capability to convincingly advocate and rationalize educational strategies is crucial. Leaders must clearly articulate and support their decisions, grounding their rationale in pedagogical insight to foster trust and understanding among their teams and administrative superiors[28,30,31].

4.3. Dynamic roles of pedagogical leaders

According to Male and Palaiologou[22] (2012), pedagogical leaders in ECE transcend traditional managerial roles, acting as catalysts for change. They enhance instructional knowledge, professional content delivery, curriculum implementation, and evaluation processes, fostering a collaborative educational environment[25,32].

PL is crucial for high-quality instruction, encompassing environmental factors, organizational culture, principal professionalism, and content management to improve teaching and learning [5,28]. Effective PL involves strategic flexibility, a collaborative culture, and balanced administrative and instructional support roles[1]. Teacher leadership in ECE is increasingly recognized, with pedagogical leadership central to educational outcomes[27]. Effective ECE relies on teachers' professional skills[33], necessitating leadership skills[31]. Heikka et al.[34] (2016) assert that teacher leaders support curriculum development, peer professional growth, and educational improvement. Pedagogical leadership ensures child-centered practices Heikka and Waniganayake[35] (2011). Sharratt[36] (2018) contends that collaborative efforts among teachers lead to meaningful educational enhancements , with teacher leadership deriving power from influence rather than formal authority[37]. Fullan[38] (2012) describes effective teacher leaders as change agents with skills in vision-building, inquiry, mastery, and collaboration. This approach enhances teacher capabilities and promotes cooperative learning, improving both operational and pedagogical efficiency in educational settings[1].

5. Distributed leadership and early education quality in ECE educators

The professional development of ECE teachers is theorized to substantially enhance the quality of ECE pedagogy, thus positively influencing children's academic outcomes[39,40]. The instructional competence of these educators directly determines the implementation of ECE instructional methodologies[33]. Harris[41] (2003) delineates delineates teacher leadership within ECE as involving the duties and responsibilities associated with leadership roles , emphasizing that this model of leadership should be continuous and integrated throughout various aspects of an educator's responsibilities[42,43].

Kocolowski[44] (2010) explains that distributed leadership in early education entails a collective sharing of leadership duties among team members, with teachers playing a pivotal role within this framework. This leadership style is increasingly recognized as a vital professional competency among early childhood educators[45], based on the idea that educators serve as pedagogical leaders at the cohort level of children. From the perspective of "shared leadership," as outlined by Harris[41] (2003) and Heikka[45](2014), , the emphasis is on equipping each member of the educational community with the necessary skills and knowledge—often referred to as "human capital." This approach ensures that the responsibility for sustaining and enhancing pedagogy is not solely reliant on one individual but is collaboratively borne by all professionals within the community. Fonsén[46] (2013) underscores this principle of collective responsibility and shared leadership, advocating for a leadership model that is more inclusive and collaborative, where every individual is empowered and expected to contribute to the enhancement and development of instructional practices.

The concept of distributed leadership has been consistently shown to have a beneficial effect on various facets of educational settings. MacBeath[47] (1998) notes that this leadership approach significantly enhances teachers' self-efficacy and morale, which are crucial for fostering a productive educational environment. Furthermore, research by Lieberman[48] (1995) and Little[49](1993)indicates that distributed leadership promotes a culture of collaboration among teachers, which is instrumental in elevating the quality of teaching. This collaborative environment facilitates the sharing of best practices and mutual learning, thus directly contributing to improved instructional quality.

Additionally, Harris[50] (2007) argues that distributed leadership is critical role in organizational development and change, promoting adaptability and continuous improvement within educational institutions.

Leithwood and Jantzi[51] (2000) posited that delegating a significant share of leadership roles to teachers enhances their effectiveness and boosts student engagement. Their analysis revealed that the influence of teacher leadership on student engagement was significantly greater than that of headmaster leadership, even when accounting for variations in family background. This finding underscores the pivotal role of teacher involvement in leadership on educational outcomes.

Further supporting this view, Silins and Mulford[52] (2002) provided empirical evidence that distributed leadership positively impacts student learning outcomes. They asserted, "student achievement is more likely to increase when leadership resources are distributed throughout the school community and when teachers are empowered in areas that are important to them." This suggests that the broad distribution of leadership responsibilities, particularly to teachers, correlates with improved academic performance. Complementing these findings, Harris and Muijs[53] (2004) explored teacher leadership in England and identified a positive correlation between the degree of teacher involvement in decision-making and enhancements in student motivation and self-efficacy. Their research indicated that decentralized leadership are associated with favorable student outcomes. The study also noted an increase in both teacher and student morale and a heightened sense of teacher engagement in decision-making processes related to school development and change.

A positive correlation exists between distributed leadership in ECE and enhanced teacher outcomes. This relationship is evidenced through the strengthening of professional development, the facilitation of informed curricular changes, the promotion of pedagogical advancements, and support for organizational transformations aligned with ECE goals. By underscoring the roles and responsibilities of ECE teachers within instructional teams, distributed leadership effectively disseminates leadership tasks across the team. This form of leadership, by leveraging the collective expertise and efforts of educators, significantly improves the quality of early childhood education, thus creating a nurturing environment conducive to children's learning experiences. Heikka et al.[42] (2021) emphasize that a key feature of distributed leadership among educators is its collectivist approach, which entails every member of the educational community actively engaging in leadership roles. This model enables educational staff to undertake leadership responsibilities simultaneously. It also fosters collaboration, with the entire educational institution's oversight and operations being collaboratively managed by all educational staff. Furthermore, as Jäppinen and Maunonen-Eskelinen[54] (2012) elucidate, this leadership style is distinguished by its empathetic nature, where the views of each member are respected and fairly incorporated into decision-making processes.

Fundamentally, the distributed model promotes a unified understanding and collaborative synergy among staff members as they collectively assume leadership roles and duties. This collective approach, especially in distributed pedagogical leadership, encourages the collegial involvement of teachers in directing instructional practices. As emphasized by Heikka[45] (2014), such collaboration is based on a shared recognition of methods and strategies aimed at enhancing educational quality. Thus, an implicit positive relationship can be observed between this teacher leadership model and the quality of early education.

6. Challenges to implementing distributed leadership in ECE

Palaiologou and Male[55] (2019) note that the quality of ECEC in the UK is regulated by agencies under the Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services, and Skills, indicating a correlation between high-quality childcare services and effective leadership[56]. However, the UK government's neoliberal focus intensifies the emphasis on early childhood outcomes[57,58], emphasizing standardized testing and measurable indicators to evaluate educational results. Practitioners in the ECEC sector are required to follow a national curriculum (EYFS), which emphasizes conformity to standardized teaching methods, frequently at the expense of play-based learning[55]. The

implementation of standardized testing and the ensuing accountability can inadvertently cause performative anxiety among educators [59]. As neoliberal policies gain traction, there is a noticeable trend towards privatization in early education, which could shift decision-making power away from educators to external entities like private companies or standardized testing agencies. This shift may lead to educators losing control over curriculum, assessment methods, and teaching strategies, potentially culminating in the deprofessionalization of their roles[58,60]. Consequently, this likely explains why educators often avoid seeing themselves as leaders, keenly aware of their reduced autonomy.

The scarcity of degree-qualified early educators willing to engage in pre-school settings[3] constitutes a major obstacle to the implementation of distributed leadership in early education. Distributed leadership relies on building relationships, recognizing professional expertise, and empowering staff to promote a learning-centered culture. Understanding the nature of professional acumen is essential, especially how it relates to the definition and allocation of leadership tasks[43]. The lack of qualified educators complicates the formation of a diverse team with a broad range of skills and perspectives. This gap can hinder effective task delegation and responsibility sharing, thereby limiting opportunities for empowerment and collaboration. Additionally, it may restrict children's access to high-quality early childhood education.

Emira[61] (2010) highlights that despite growing recognition of teacher leadership[34,62] (,; Harris & Jones, 2019;) , there is scant research on how educators are extensively involved in the broader decision-making processes of educational institutions. Typically, teachers' leadership roles are confined to pedagogical decisions within their own classrooms, often overlooking their capacity to impact broader institutional decisions that affect the community.

A major challenge in early childhood education is the marginalization of educators in the policy-making process. Often, policy development depends on guidance from international organizations or think tanks, which may not fully grasp the complexities of actual classroom situations[37]. Despite the intentions of distributed leadership initiatives to dismantle hierarchical structures and enhance teacher empowerment through high-impact and enriching tasks, the role of principals remains critically influential due to their positional and symbolic authority. Paradoxically, distributed leadership is inherently fragile and susceptible to failure without stable and consistent leadership. Harris[50] (2007) highlights this contradiction, noting that the effectiveness of distributed leadership relies heavily on strong, coherent leadership at the school's core. For distributed leadership to be genuinely impactful and effective within the early education framework, it becomes imperative to integrate the professional insights of teachers into the decision-making and policy formulation processes.

7. Conclusion

This paper examines leadership in early childhood education, focusing on distributed and instructional leadership. A literature review highlights the crucial role of effective leadership in enhancing educational quality and fostering early childhood development. Leadership in ECE varies widely in definitions and practices, lacking uniform standards. Distributed leadership leverages team diversity to improve institutional performance, while instructional leadership enhances teaching quality and student development through professional development and monitoring[1,39,42]. Different cultural and policy contexts impact leadership, with globalization and neoliberal policies potentially limiting educator autonomy[28,41,45,46]. The research recommends bolstering leadership training, incorporating educators' insights into policymaking, and learning from international successes to improve local leadership.

References

[1] Cheung, A. C. K., Keung, C. P. C., Kwan, P. Y. K., & Cheung, L. Y. S. (2019). Teachers' perceptions of the effect of

selected leadership practices on pre-primary children's learning in hong kong. Early Child Development and Care, 189(14), 2265-2283.

[2] Fonsén, E., Lahtinen, L., Sillman, M., & Reunamo, J. (2022). Pedagogical leadership and children's well-being in finnish early education. Educational management administration & leadership, 50(6), 979-994.

[3] Gibson, M., McFadden, A., Williams, K. E., Zollo, L., Winter, A., & Lunn, J. (2020). Imbalances between workforce policy and employment for early childhood graduate teachers: Complexities and considerations. Australasian Journal of Early Childhood, 45(1), 82-94.

[4] Thornton, K., Wansbrough, D., Clarkin-Phillips, J., Aitken, H., & Tamati, A. (2009). Conceptualising leadership in early childhood education in aotearoa new zealand. The New Zealand Teachers Council. https://researchcommons. waikato.ac.nz/entities/publication/6a5f9b1f-a427-42fe-9915-0b3d075a70a7

[5] Soukainen, U. (2019). How do early childhood education directors in finland see themselves? Selected findings based on the orientation project. Leadership in early education in times of change: Research from five continents, 101-114.

[6] Douglass, A. L. (2019). Leadership for quality early childhood education and care. OECD Education Working Papers(211), 1-31.

[7] Goffin, S. G. (2013). Early childhood education for a new era: Leading for our profession. Teachers College Press.

[8] Goffin, S. G., & Washington, V. (2007). Ready or not: Leadership choices in early care and education. Teachers College Press.

[9] Thornton, K. (2005). Notions of leadership in the new zealand ece centres of innovation programme. New Zealand Annual Review of Education, 15, 153-167.

[10] Bricker, D. (2000). Interdisciplinary early intervention/early childhood special education leadership program final report. ERIC. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED449622

[11] Rodd, J. (2006). Leadership in early childhood. Open UP.

[12] Rodd, J. (1996). Towards a typology of leadership for the early childhood professional of the 21 st century. Early Child Development and Care, 120(1), 119-126.

[13] Hollander, E. P. (1978). Leadership dynamics: A practical guide to effective relationships. Free Press. https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1130000796152100608

[14] Siraj, I., & Hallet, E. (2013). Effective and caring leadership in the early years. Sage.

[15] Muijs, D., Aubrey, C., Harris, A., & Briggs, M. (2004). How do they manage? A review of the research on leadership in early childhood. Journal of early childhood research, 2(2), 157-169.

[16] Waniganayake, M., Cheeseman, S., Fenech, M., Hadley, F., & Shepherd, W. (2012). Leadership: Contexts and complexities in early childhood education. Oxford University Press.

[17] Klevering, N., & McNae, R. (2018). Making sense of leadership in early childhood education: Tensions and complexities between concepts and practices. Journal of Educational Leadership, Policy and Practice, 33(1), 5-17.

[18] Siraj-Blatchford, I., Siraj, I., & Manni, L. (2007). Effective leadership in the early years sector: The eleys study. Institute of Education Press.

[19] Bloom, P. J. (2000). How do we define director competence? Child Care Information Exchange, 13-15.

[20] Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2004). What we know about successful leadership. Practising Administrator, 26(4), 4-7.

[21] Alameen, L., Male, T., & Palaiologou, I. (2015). Exploring pedagogical leadership in early years education in saudi arabia. School Leadership & Management, 35(2), 121-139.

[22] Male, T., & Palaiologou, I. (2012). Learning-centred leadership or pedagogical leadership? An alternative approach to leadership in education contexts. International Journal of Leadership in Education, 15(1), 107-118.

[23] Male, T., & Palaiologou, I. (2015). Pedagogical leadership in the 21st century: Evidence from the field. Educational management administration & leadership, 43(2), 214-231.

[24] Male, T., & Palaiologou, I. (2017). Pedagogical leadership in action: Two case studies in english schools. International Journal of Leadership in Education, 20(6), 733-748.

[25] Varga, R., Vican, D., & Peko, A. (2020). Being an influential pedagogical leader: Headteachers' view on necessary competences. Journal of Contemporary Educational Studies/Sodobna Pedagogika, 71(2), 174–195.

[26] Abel, M. (2016). Why pedagogical leadership. McCormick Center for Early Childhood Leadership. https://mccormick-assets.floodcdn.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/4-25-16_WhyPedagogicalLeadership.pdf

[27] Sergiovanni, T. J. (1998). Leadership as pedagogy, capital development and school effectiveness. International Journal of Leadership in Education Theory and Practice, 1(1), 37-46.

[28] Fonsén, E. (2014). Pedagoginen johtajuus varhaiskasvatuksessa. Tampere University Press. https://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-951-44-9397-3

[29] Autio, T., Hakala, L., & Kujala, T. (2017). Opetussuunnitelmatutkimus: Keskustelunavauksia suomalaiseen kouluun ja opettajankoulutukseen. Tampere University Press.

[30] Lahtero, T. J., & Kuusilehto-Awale, L. (2015). Possibility to engage in pedagogical leadership as experienced by finnish newly appointed principals. American Journal of Educational Research, 3(3), 318-329.

[31] Fonsén, E., & Ukkonen-Mikkola, T. (2019). Early childhood education teachers' professional development towards pedagogical leadership. Educational research, 61(2), 181-196.

[32] Bertrand, J. (2008). Understanding, managing and leading early child development programs. ITP Nelson.

[33] Saracho, O. N., & Spodek, B. (2003). The preparation of teachers for the profession in early childhood education.

Studying teachers in early childhood settings, 4, 1-28.

[34] Heikka, J., Halttunen, L., & Waniganayake, M. (2016). Investigating teacher leadership in ece centres in finland. Journal of Early Childhood Education Research, 5(2), 289-309.

[35] Heikka, J., & Waniganayake, M. (2011). Pedagogical leadership from a distributed perspective within the context of early childhood education. International Journal of Leadership in Education, 14(4), 499-512.

[36] Sharratt, L. (2018). Clarity: What matters most in learning, teaching, and leading. Corwin Press.

[37] Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2019). Teacher leadership and educational change. School Leadership & Management, 39(2), 123-126.

[38] Fullan, M. (2012). Change forces: Probing the depths of educational reform. Routledge.

[39] Jensen, P., & Rasmussen, A. W. (2019). Professional development and its impact on children in early childhood education and care: A meta-analysis based on european studies. Scandinavian journal of educational research, 63(6), 935-950.

[40] Schachter, R. E. (2015). An analytic study of the professional development research in early childhood education. Early education and development, 26(8), 1057-1085.

[41] Harris, A. (2003). Teacher leadership as distributed leadership: Heresy, fantasy or possibility? School Leadership & Management, 23(3), 313-324.

[42] Heikka, J., Pitkäniemi, H., Kettukangas, T., & Hyttinen, T. (2021). Distributed pedagogical leadership and teacher leadership in early childhood education contexts. International Journal of Leadership in Education, 24(3), 333-348.

[43] Heikka, J., Waniganayake, M., & Hujala, E. (2013). Contextualizing distributed leadership within early childhood education: Current understandings, research evidence and future challenges. Educational management administration & leadership, 41(1), 30-44.

[44] Kocolowski, M. D. (2010). Shared leadership: Is it time for a change? Emerging Leadership Journeys, 3(1), 22–32.

[45] Heikka, J. (2014). Distributed pedagogical leadership in early childhood education. Tampere University Press.

[46] Fonsén, E. (2013). Dimensions of pedagogical leadership in early childhood education and care. In E. Hujala, M. Waniganayake, & J. Rodd (Eds.), Researching leadership in early childhood education (pp. 181-191). Tampere University Press.

[47] MacBeath, J. (1998). Effective school leadership: Responding to change. SAGE.

[48] Lieberman, A. (1995). Practices that support teacher development: Transforming conceptions of professional learning. Innovating and evaluating science education, 95(64), 67-78.

[49] Little, J. W. (1990). The persistence of privacy: Autonomy and initiative in teachers' professional relations. Teachers college record, 91(4), 509-536.

[50] Harris, A. (2007). Distributed leadership: Conceptual confusion and empirical reticence. International Journal of Leadership in Education, 10(3), 315-325.

[51] Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). The effects of transformational leadership on organizational conditions and student engagement with school. Journal of educational administration, 38(2), 112-129.

[52] Silins, H., & Mulford, B. (2002). Leadership and school results. In K. Leithwood, P. Hallinger, G. C. Furman, K. Riley, J. MacBeath, P. Gronn, & B. Mulford (Eds.), Second international handbook of educational leadership and administration (pp. 561-612). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0375-9_21

[53] Harris, A., & Muijs, D. (2004). Improving schools through teacher leadership. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).

[54] Jäppinen, A.-K., & Maunonen-Eskelinen, I. (2012). Organisational transition challenges in the finnish vocational education–perspective of distributed pedagogical leadership. Educational Studies, 38(1), 39-50.

[55] Palaiologou, I., & Male, T. (2019). Leadership in early childhood education: The case for pedagogical praxis. Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood, 20(1), 23-34.

[56] Roberts-Holmes, G. (2013). The english early years professional status (eyps) and the 'split' early childhood education and care (ecec) system. European Early Childhood Education Research Journal, 21(3), 339-352.

[57] Bradbury, A., & Roberts-Holmes, G. (2017). The datafication of primary and early years education: Playing with numbers. Routledge.

[58] Roberts-Holmes, G. (2021). School readiness, governance and early years ability grouping. Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood, 22(3), 244-253.

[59] Ball, S. J. (2003). The teacher's soul and the terrors of performativity. Journal of education policy, 18(2), 215-228.

[60] Roberts-Holmes, G., & Moss, P. (2021). Neoliberalism and early childhood education: Markets, imaginaries and governance. Routledge.

[61] Emira, M. (2010). Leading to decide or deciding to lead? Understanding the relationship between teacher leadership and decision making. Educational management administration & leadership, 38(5), 591-612.

[62] Denee, R., & Thornton, K. (2017). Effective leadership practices leading to distributed leadership. Journal of Educational Leadership, Policy and Practice, 32(2), 33-45.