Applied & Educational Psychology (2025)
Clausius Scientific Press, Canada

DOI: 10.23977/appep.2025.060122
ISSN 2523-5842 Vol. 6 Num. 1

# *Transference-Focused Psychotherapy for Borderline Personality Disorder: A Structured Intervention Pathway*

**Wu Qiao*, Yang Kun**

*Department of Psychiatry, The Third Hospital of Mianyang, Sichuan Mental Health Center, Mianyang, China*
**Corresponding author: bridgeqiao@foxmail.com*

***Keywords:*** Transference-Focused Psychotherapy, Borderline Personality Disorder, Borderline Personality Organization

***Abstract:*** Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) is a psychiatric syndrome characterized by disturbances in self-image, emotional dysregulation, and unstable interpersonal relationships, with its core pathological mechanism closely related to identity diffusion. Transference-Focused Psychotherapy (TFP), based on Otto Kernberg's object relations theory of personality pathology, is dedicated to integrating patients' self-representations and object relational functions through structured diagnostic assessments and systematic intervention strategies. This paper systematically elaborates the theoretical framework of TFP, the application of structured assessment tools (Structured Interview for Personality Organization-Revised, STIPO-R), and the therapeutic implementation pathway, exploring its scientific value and clinical applicability in the treatment of BPD.

## 1. Pathological Characteristics of Borderline Personality Disorder

The clinical features of BPD manifest as fragmented self-perception, extreme emotional responses, and impulsive behaviors (such as self-harm, substance abuse), with its core pathology stemming from identity diffusion—a failure of self-integration due to early object relational disruptions[1]. Patients employ primitive defense mechanisms such as splitting and projective identification to polarize interpersonal relationships into "all good" or "all bad," leading to drastic fluctuations in intimate relationships[2]. Compared to personality disorders with consolidated identity (e.g., narcissistic personality disorder), individuals with BPD lack continuity in their self-representation, struggling to maintain long-term goals or occupational commitments[3].

## 2. Theoretical Framework of Kernberg's Personality Pathology Model

### 2.1. Levels of Personality Organization

Kernberg introduced the concept of personality organization in the field of individual psychology to distinguish different levels of psychological functioning, including psychotic, borderline, and neurotic organizations. Kernberg, the founder of TFP, developed the concept of Borderline Personality Organization (BPO), a psychopathological structural model characterized by identity

diffusion, predominance of primitive defenses, and impaired reality testing, encompassing BPD and some aspects of antisocial personality disorder. In recent years, the DSM-5's alternative model for personality disorders has proposed a new approach to understanding personality disorders that shares many similarities with Kernberg's model[4,5].

Identity refers to an individual's perception of continuity and consistency in their experience of self and others[6]. A healthy identity is manifested by stable self-awareness (e.g., continuity of values, interests) and the ability to understand others in a complex manner (e.g., empathy and relationship depth)[7]. In Kernberg's model, identity integration is the cornerstone of personality organization, involving the balance of self-image unity and the quality of object relations[8]. Failure of integration leads to identity diffusion, characterized by fragmented self-perception and contradictory emotions and behaviors[9]. Identity diffusion stems from the overuse of primitive defense mechanisms (such as splitting, projective identification), causing individuals to be unable to reconcile internal contradictory experiences[10]. For example, patients with borderline personality disorder (BPD) often polarize their attitudes towards others into "all good" or "all bad" due to identity diffusion, making it impossible to maintain stable relationships.

### 2.2. Pathological Mechanism of Identity Diffusion

A healthy identity is characterized by stable self-awareness (e.g., consistency of values) and the capacity for complex object relations (e.g., depth of empathy) (Kernberg & Caligor, 2005). Patients with identity diffusion, due to early attachment deprivation or traumatic experiences, are unable to reconcile internal contradictory experiences, leading to fragmented self-perception and contradictory emotional and behavioral responses (Clarkin et al., 2006). This pathological state closely aligns with the "self-interpersonal dysfunction" dimension in the DSM-5's alternative model (Skodol et al., 2011).

## 3. Structured Diagnostic Assessment in TFP: The STIPO-R Framework

Derived from clinical experience and psychoanalytic theory, the initial phase of TFP involves a systematic assessment of personality pathology across five core dimensions[11]: identity, which is measured through an individual's capacity to engage in research and/or work as well as their professional and recreational life; the perception or representation of the self and others is also scrutinized; object relations, which involves the evaluation of an individual's interpersonal relationships, intimate relationships, and sexual behavior, as well as the internal or psychological models of relationships; defense mechanisms, providing an assessment of both higher-level and mature defenses as well as primitive defenses such as splitting; aggression, focusing on aggression towards the self and others. Lastly, moral values function, which examines an individual's sense of guilt and the ability to adhere to common norms of interpersonal conduct.

Structured diagnostic assessment is of paramount importance. The diagnostic evaluation must integrate both descriptive and structural elements of the case and clarify any remaining issues of differential diagnosis. As treatment progresses, it is always feasible to reassess diagnostic issues[12]. Accurate diagnosis provides the foundation for case management, ensuring the correct direction of treatment.

The output of the structured diagnostic interview is an assessment of symptomatology and personality organization level, characterized by a combination of identity consolidation and object relational difficulties[13]. This ranges from high-level borderline personality organization (accompanied by identity diffusion) to low-level personality organization (accompanied by identity diffusion, aggression, severe pathological object relations, and moral function deficits). The output of the structured interview depends on the interviewer's clinical acumen and skill. Determining the level of personality organization is key to guiding different treatment plans. Identifying individuals

with BPO, particularly those with low-level BPO, is especially crucial, as these individuals require a highly structured therapeutic environment and face significant difficulties in establishing and maintaining a therapeutic alliance; transference distortions develop rapidly and are emotionally charged and extreme, often leading to therapeutic rupture.

## 4. Clinical Implementation Pathway of TFP

The sequence of TFP's structured interview is divided into three phases[14]. The initial phase invites patients to discuss their main difficulties, symptoms, and reasons for seeking treatment. The middle phase focuses on underlying pathological personality traits, difficulties in interpersonal relationships, and perceived interpersonal needs. In the termination phase, the interviewer provides patients with an opportunity to ask questions and assesses their motivation to continue with the diagnostic process and treatment.

TFP can be conducted in the following manner[15]: (1) assessing symptoms and functional domains using a structured interview; (2) directly discussing the clinician's preliminary diagnostic impressions, including symptoms of personality disorders (if any), and providing relevant psychoeducation; (3) clarifying the patient's personal goals and therapeutic objectives; (4) contacting previous therapists when necessary; (5) conducting a family meeting in cases where the patient is dependent on family members in any way; (6) finally, engaging in a detailed contracting phase, outlining the responsibilities of both parties in the treatment and preliminarily discussing potential challenges that may arise.

As previously elaborated, structured assessments are conducted. Here, we will discuss subsequent steps. Before establishing the therapeutic framework, therapists must discuss diagnostic impressions with patients, providing basic psychoeducation about their condition to help them understand their symptoms and underlying psychological mechanisms. Concurrently, therapists must review the nature of the recommended treatment with patients, explain the exploratory rather than overly supportive characteristics of TFP, and clarify the central role of free association in therapy.

Contrary to traditional psychodynamic perspectives, TFP emphasizes the importance of clear therapeutic goals. The establishment of therapeutic goals requires in-depth discussion with patients to ensure a shared understanding of the direction of treatment. The goals are not only to help patients understand their issues but also to achieve problem resolution through exploration and self-reflection. This goal setting is crucial for successful treatment.

Additionally, contacting previous therapists is essential, with the core purpose of integrating information from patients' past treatments and avoiding potential therapeutic interference factors. TFP emphasizes systematic analysis of patients' responses to previous treatments, especially for BPO patients who often have complex therapeutic histories (such as interruptions, failures, or symptom exacerbation). Communicating with previous therapists can supplement missing details from structured assessments (such as medication responses, treatment adherence, transference patterns, etc.), aiding in clarifying the current treatment direction. By contacting former therapists, resistance to specific intervention methods can be identified (such as excessive dependence on support or rejection of interpretation), preventing the repetition of ineffective interventions.

If necessary, family interviews may be conducted. The purposes of family interviews include: obtaining parallel information, demystifying TFP therapy and therapists; preemptively addressing the potential for "splitting" between the family and the therapist; challenging the pathological patterns of dependence that BPO patients often rely on. In some cases, regular family meetings may be required after the initial meeting, especially for patients with pronounced dishonesty and antisocial characteristics.

## 5. Establishment of the Therapeutic Framework

Establishing a robust and clear therapeutic framework at the onset of treatment is crucial. This process can be divided into the following steps: (1) Therapist's Self-Awareness, The first step in establishing the therapeutic framework is the therapist's self-awareness. Therapists must examine themselves for the presence of countertransference reactions, which aids in maintaining professionalism and objectivity throughout subsequent treatment sessions. (2) Discussion and Analysis of Pros and Cons with the Patient, after completing self-awareness, therapists must engage in discussion with patients to explain the necessity of the therapeutic framework and analyze its pros and cons. During this process, particular attention must be paid to the patient's primary and secondary gains to ensure that the therapeutic framework effectively supports the patient's treatment objectives. (3) Establishment of Rules, the third step in establishing the therapeutic framework is to set clear rules for the patient. These rules are designed to provide structure and direction to the treatment process, facilitating better patient engagement in therapy.

In the establishment of the therapeutic framework, the stability and clarity of both the internal and external frameworks are of paramount importance. The internal framework is the core that determines the elements of the external framework. Should the internal framework be vague or unclear, the external framework may remain at a purely technical level, failing to truly meet the patient's therapeutic needs. Therefore, therapists must balance the stability and clarity of both the internal and external frameworks.

## 6. Clinical Progression of TFP

In TFP, therapists are expected to anticipate and embrace the confusion that may arise in the early stages of treatment with patients exhibiting Borderline Personality Organization (BPO). This confusion stems from the chaotic material presented by patients, such as contradictory narratives and emotional shifts. TFP requires therapists to refrain from reflexively "ordering" this material and instead immerse themselves in the patient's experience to infer the underlying object relational dyadic role pairs (e.g., "victim-perpetrator," "rescuer-abandoned"). Therapists parse material through three channels of communication: listening to the patient's self-perceptions, experiences with others, and clues related to their feelings.

In TFP, the therapist has one goal: to verbalize the dominant object relational pair that emerges, or to "name the roles." TFP emphasizes directly naming the dominant object relational roles (e.g., "You are currently perceiving me as an indifferent authority figure") and hypothesizing potential role reversals (e.g., the patient shifting suddenly from "victim" to "perpetrator"). As patients speak freely according to the TFP protocol, therapists face decisions on how and when to intervene. TFP therapists observe what seems to be the most influential dominant material, that which is associated with the strongest feelings. As mentioned, TFP therapists monitor the three channels of communication to identify the dominant emotional material, recognizing that the patient's behavior or the therapist's feelings may be as significant as the patient's actual words.

The core of therapeutic intervention lies in clarifying contradictions, confronting inconsistencies, and interpreting conflicts. Therapists must determine when to intervene, prioritizing the dominant material associated with the strongest emotions (e.g., when the patient suddenly falls silent or experiences an emotional outburst), while also paying attention to the patient's behaviors (such as tardiness, avoidance) and the therapist's own countertransference reactions (e.g., feelings of helplessness or anger), as these nonverbal signals may carry more clinical significance than the verbal content.

At the onset of treatment, transference will gradually manifest as vigilance and mistrust, a "paranoid transference" stemming from the BPO patient's splitting defenses (e.g., alternating between

idealizing and demonizing the therapist), rather than psychotic paranoia. Most of the work with BPO patients in the early and middle stages of treatment will be marked by paranoid transference. Therapists must maintain a technical neutrality—empathetic yet refraining from excessive support or criticism, deviating from neutrality only when there is a threat to patient safety or the therapeutic framework (e.g., suicidal tendencies, aggressive behaviors). Therapists will expect that through repeated use of clarification, confrontation, and interpretation, the material will deepen.

As treatment progresses, BPO patients' capacity for self-reflection will increase, gradually developing the ability for self-observation and recognizing the destructive consequences of splitting defenses (e.g., relationship ruptures). Therapists must concurrently pay attention to patients' real-life functioning outside of therapy (e.g., work, intimate relationships), as the goal of TFP is not only symptom relief but also promoting adaptive improvements in real-life functioning through transference analysis. Therapists will expectantly and repeatedly inquire whether improvements have been made in the patient's life outside of therapy (e.g., the patient's work, friendships, or love life).

## 7. Conclusion

TFP reconstructs the pathological structure of patients with Borderline Personality Organization (BPO) through an object relations integration pathway, with its therapeutic efficacy derived from the continuous deconstruction of splitting defenses and the reconstruction of identity continuity. This process relies on therapists maintaining a dynamic balance between technical neutrality and crisis intervention within a structured framework, such as STIPO-R assessments and the establishment of therapeutic contracts. Clinical practice has demonstrated that when patients link the transference patterns in the therapeutic setting (e.g., paranoid object relations) with external real-life functioning (e.g., occupational stability), their capacity for self-reflection and emotional regulation significantly improves. Future research should further explore the neuromechanisms of TFP (e.g., the reshaping of prefrontal-limbic system functions) and its potential for cross-model integration in complex comorbidities (e.g., Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in conjunction with BPD).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the special fund for hospital management scientific research from the Sichuan Hospital Management Association (YG2327).

## References

*[1] Kernberg OF. Identity Diffusion in Severe Personality Disorders. Published online 2005. Accessed March 2, 2025. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2005-04993-003*

*[2] Kernberg OF, Caligor E. A psychoanalytic theory of personality disorders. Published online 2005. Accessed March 2, 2025. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2005-02797-003*

*[3] Clarkin JF. Conceptualization and treatment of personality disorders. Psychotherapy Research. 2006;16(1):1-11. doi:10.1080/10503300500395889*

*[4] Clarkin JF, Caligor E, Sowislo JF. An object relations model perspective on the alternative model for personality disorders (DSM-5). Psychopathology. 2020;53(3-4):141-148.*

*[5] Sexton J, Hilton M, Benson S, Rosen A. Exploring Kernberg's Model of Personality Functioning as a Moderator of Traits: Focus on DSM-5's Section III Alternative Model of Personality Disorder. J Am Psychoanal Assoc. 2019;67(6):1047-1055. doi:10.1177/0003065119898772*

*[6] Suh EM. Culture, identity consistency, and subjective well-being. Journal of personality and social psychology. 2002;83(6):1378.*

*[7] McAdams DP, Trzesniewski K, Lilgendahl J, Benet-Martinez V, Robins RW. Self and identity in personality psychology. Personal Sci. 2021;2:e6035. doi:10.5964/ps.6035*

*[8] Fonagy P, Target M, Gergely G. Psychoanalytic Perspectives on Developmental Psychopathology. In: Cicchetti D, Cohen DJ, eds. Developmental Psychopathology. 1st ed. Wiley; 2015:701-749. doi:10.1002/9780470939383.ch18*

*[9] Jørgensen CR, Bøye R. How Does It Feel to Have a Disturbed Identity? The Phenomenology of Identity Diffusion in Patients With Borderline Personality Disorder: A Qualitative Study. Journal of Personality Disorders. 2022;36(1):40-69. doi:10.1521/pedi_2021_35_526*

*[10] Boyer MFM. Ruptures or Disruption: Identity Diffusion and the Therapeutic Relationship. Contemporary Psychoanalysis. 2022;58(4):545-578. doi:10.1080/00107530.2023.2212343*

*[11] Clarkin JF, Caligor E, Sowislo J, Diamond D, Yeomans F. Development and Evolution of Transference-Focused Psychotherapy (TFP): A Foundation for Expanding Treatment Applications. In: Hersh RG, De Panfilis C, eds. Implementing Transference-Focused Psychotherapy Principles. Springer Nature Switzerland; 2024:27-48. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-68062-5_2*

*[12] Kernberg OF. New developments in transference focused psychotherapy. The International Journal of Psychoanalysis. 2016;97(2):385-407. doi:10.1111/1745-8315.12289*

*[13] Hörz-Sagstetter S, Caligor E, Preti E, Stern BL, De Panfilis C, Clarkin JF. Clinician-Guided Assessment of Personality Using the Structural Interview and the Structured Interview of Personality Organization (STIPO). Journal of Personality Assessment. 2018;100(1):30-42. doi:10.1080/00223891.2017.1298115*

*[14] Hersh RG, Caligor E, Yeomans FE. Transference-Focused Psychotherapy (TFP) and Its Applications: Introduction to TFP and the Roles of the Structural Interview, Goal Setting, and Contracting. In: Fundamentals of Transference-Focused Psychotherapy. Springer International Publishing; 2016:1-32. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-44091-0_1*

*[15] Hersh RG, Petrini M, Bergonzini E, Moscara M. Using Transference-Focused Psychotherapy (TFP) Principles in the Treatment of Medical and Personality Disorder Comorbidities. In: Hersh RG, De Panfilis C, eds. Implementing Transference-Focused Psychotherapy Principles. Springer Nature Switzerland; 2024:309-335. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-68062-5_12*