### A Pattern Analysis of How Generative Artificial Intelligence Empowers the Construction of Smart Learning Spaces in Universities

DOI: 10.23977/aetp.2025.090613

ISSN 2371-9400 Vol. 9 Num. 6

#### Chenrui Zhang\*

School of Teacher Development, Chongqing University of Education, Chongqing, China \*Corresponding author: 442574727@qq.com

*Keywords:* Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI); Smart Learning Spaces; Personalized Learning; Human–AI Collaboration

Abstract: As a strategic technology leading a new round of scientific and technological revolution and industrial transformation, generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) is profoundly reshaping social structures and modes of production and everyday life, while also providing new pathways for the digital transformation of higher education. This paper aims to systematically examine how generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) enables the deep transformation of smart learning spaces in universities. From the five dimensions of infrastructure, resource patterns, interaction patterns, assessment patterns, and governance patterns, it conducts a comprehensive analysis of the new patterns of smart learning spaces empowered by GenAI and outlines a future learning vision characterized by a high degree of personalization, deep interaction, precise services, and human—AI collaboration. The aim is to provide theoretical guidance for universities to construct learner-centered next-generation smart learning spaces in the era of intelligence.

#### 1. Introduction

With the widespread application of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) in education, learning spaces, as key settings for teaching activities, are also undergoing a profound digital transformation. Scholars in China generally contend that enabling large-scale personalized learning in universities through intelligent technologies will become an inevitable trend. Embedding artificial intelligence technologies into university learning and service systems can provide students with round-the-clock support for questions and problem-solving, while also facilitating the construction of learning environments such as virtual classrooms and virtual laboratories, thereby promoting innovation in teaching models and methods[1]. However, at present, university learning spaces are confronted with challenges such as insufficient utilization of digital resources, inadequate support for personalized services, and a separation between physical and virtual space data, which make it difficult to meet the demands of personalized, interactive, and innovative teaching provision. Against this backdrop, the construction of smart learning spaces in universities is at a critical stage of transition from "tool intelligence" to "scenario intelligence." Leveraging its powerful capabilities in natural language processing, instructional resource generation, educational

context understanding, and human—computer interaction, generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) provides new technological pathways for the construction of smart learning spaces in universities. GenAI's capabilities in knowledge perception and understanding, cognitive writing, and intelligent decision-making can all be coupled with the intelligentization of scenarios and the provision of personalized learning within smart learning spaces. Therefore, this paper explores the possible configurations through which generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) can empower the construction of smart learning spaces in universities, with a view to providing theoretical references for advancing the digital transformation of higher education.

# 2. Technological Alignment between Generative Artificial Intelligence and Smart Learning Spaces in Universities

With the development of artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and other technologies, students' ways of learning and teachers' ways of teaching have undergone major transformations. As the setting in which teaching and learning occur, the learning environment must likewise be urgently upgraded, transformed, and iteratively renewed in step with technological advances, giving rise to smart learning spaces. At present, there is no unified definition of smart learning spaces in the academic community. Kim, T. argue that smart learning spaces are learning spaces that provide learners with autonomous learning and personalized services, with a primary focus on people and content<sup>[2]</sup>. Shen Shusheng argues that smart learning spaces are learning environments that can intelligently record the learning process, effectively extract and analyze learning data, and accurately support the structural transformation from teaching to learning<sup>[3]</sup>. Drawing on the views of many scholars, this study contends that smart learning spaces are learning environments that, supported by technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data, and virtual reality, integrate physical and virtual learning spaces and leverage multimodal data from learning content, learning resources, teacher–student interaction, human–computer interaction, and governance information to facilitate effective student learning.

As smart learning spaces in universities have shifted from the early stage of equipment accumulation to a new stage that emphasizes context awareness, personalized services, and interactive experiences, generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) is not only a revolutionary tool for content production, but also a potential partner for social interaction and cognitive collaboration, providing a new technological pathway for the construction of smart learning spaces in universities. The technological compatibility between GenAI and smart learning spaces in universities is not a simple functional overlay, but arises from their deep coupling in terms of technological logic and educational objectives, which is mainly reflected in the following aspects: First, GenAI's powerful natural language processing and content generation capabilities enable in-depth mining and analysis of the massive, multimodal, and unstructured learning data in smart learning spaces, thereby supporting precise profiling of learners' knowledge states, cognitive styles, and emotional states, and thus laying a technological foundation for highly personalized services. Second, GenAI's powerful dynamic knowledge graph module can, based on students' real-time learning progress and areas of weakness, dynamically generate customized exercises, reading materials, and even microlecture videos, thereby enabling on-demand provision of learning content. Third, GenAI's humancomputer interaction module can serve as an intelligent teaching assistant or academic partner, providing students with 24/7 question-answering services and supporting Socratic-style dialogue. This greatly extends the temporal and spatial boundaries of teacher-student Q&A and helps to remedy the lack of effective extracurricular guidance in traditional learning spaces. Fourth, by leveraging knowledge graph and neural network technologies, GenAI can rapidly construct complex historical scenarios, scientific experiments, or engineering simulations, thereby creating

immersive learning environments that enable students to engage in inquiry-based learning within simulated settings. Fifth, as a core cloud-based service, GenAI can be seamlessly integrated with various terminals and applications in smart learning spaces through API interfaces. This loosely coupled architecture ensures technological scalability and ease of maintenance, enabling upgrades of AI capabilities to serve the entire learning space while avoiding reliance on upgrading or retrofitting hardware devices.

## 3. New patterns in the construction of smart learning spaces in universities empowered by generative artificial intelligence (GenAI)

### 3.1 Infrastructure patterns: from static configuration to an intelligent, integrated, ubiquitous environment

The deep embedding of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) drives the infrastructure of smart learning spaces in universities to evolve from a traditional static configuration model—centered on hardware stacking and network coverage—to an intelligent, integrated model that unifies "perception—computing—generation—interaction." This is manifested specifically in the following ways:

First, the computing infrastructure is organized through cloud-based collaboration: the cloud is responsible for hosting the core training and computationally intensive inference tasks of large generative models, providing a unified AI capability center for the entire university; Edge nodes are deployed in locations such as libraries and teaching buildings to locally process interactive requests with high real-time requirements, thereby reducing network latency and protecting privacy; Terminal devices serve as entry points for lightweight applications, enabling on-demand allocation and efficient utilization of computing power, thereby forming an intelligently orchestrated neural network of computing resources.

Second, the data infrastructure undergoes a qualitative transformation: under the new patterns, the data infrastructure needs to build a comprehensive, real-time educational big data pool that aggregates multimodal data from teaching processes, learning behaviors, research activities, and management services. By cleaning, annotating, and linking these high-quality data, GenAI can deeply mine underlying patterns and generate highly realistic simulated data, personalized learning pathways, and virtual conversational partners, thereby feeding back into teaching and research and transforming data from static records into a source of innovation.

Third, the interaction infrastructure needs to become multimodal and immersive: to fully leverage GenAI's advantages in content creation and natural interaction, the interactive facilities of smart learning spaces must transcend the two-dimensional "keyboard + screen" paradigm. These new patterns need to deeply integrate mobile devices, VR/AR equipment, and various Internet of Things sensors to jointly construct a multimodal perception and feedback system. In this type of blended physical–virtual learning space, students can engage in seamless dialogue with an AI assistant through natural language, gestures, and even eye gaze. Based on students' learning contexts, GenAI can promptly generate corresponding 3D models, virtual laboratories, or historical scenes, transforming abstract knowledge into perceivable, interactive, and immersive experiences that greatly expand the depth and breadth of learning.

# 3.2 Resource Patterns: From Predefined and Fixed to Dynamically Generated Semantic Knowledge Networks

Enabled by intelligent infrastructure, GenAI is driving a fundamental transformation in the resource patterns of smart learning spaces. At the core of this transformation is a shift from closed,

static, and standardized preset resource repositories toward open, evolving, and personalized dynamically generated knowledge networks. In smart teaching spaces embedded with artificial intelligence, learners autonomously select learning modes and tools that fit their individual profiles, while learning resources can also be automatically recommended and selected according to learners' own needs. In turn, generative resources are stored in a "teaching resource cloud", with the aim of enabling selection and application anytime and anywhere<sup>[4]</sup>.

At the level of resource patterns, digital resources in traditional learning spaces are mostly finalized products such as videos, courseware, and documents. Under the empowerment of GenAI, resources diverge into two key forms: the first consists of high-quality core knowledge assets used as training and generative corpora for AI, such as authoritative textbooks, academic literature, and expert lectures; Second, AI can generate personalized resources in real time based on specific contexts and learners' needs. For example, AI can take a complex disciplinary knowledge point and dynamically generate multiple forms of resources, such as textual overviews, mind maps, simulation animations, and case analyses, thereby transforming learning resources from static information carriers into dynamic solutions tailored to specific learning tasks.

At the level of resource organization, this enables a shift from linear sequences to semantically associated knowledge graphs. The structure of resources no longer depends on the linear arrangement of course syllabi or instructional content, but is instead constructed as a three-dimensional, interconnected semantic knowledge graph by leveraging GenAI's powerful natural language understanding capabilities to automatically extract and associate fragmented knowledge points. In this graph, concepts, theories, figures, and events related to disciplines, majors, or courses are dynamically connected. Learners can start from a single knowledge point and explore along AI-generated personalized pathways, thereby realizing cross-disciplinary and cross-curricular connected learning. The interaction process between learners and GenAI generates new semantic data and optimization instructions, which in turn drive the continuous optimization of the knowledge graph and generative models, ultimately forming an intelligent resource ecosystem capable of self-evolution.

#### 3.3 Interaction patterns: From one-way transmission to embodied interaction through multiround co-creation

The integration of GenAI has reconstructed the fundamental nature of teaching—learning interaction in smart learning spaces, advancing it from one-way information transmission dominated by "human—computer" interface operations to multi-round co-creation and embodied interaction characterized by deep coupling among "human—AI—environment." This new pattern is mainly reflected at the following three levels.

First, in terms of interaction modalities, traditional online learning spaces rely primarily on graphical user interface operations such as clicking and dragging, requiring learners to adapt to the machine's logic. The involvement of GenAI makes natural language the primary and core medium of interaction. Learners can communicate seamlessly with the AI assistant through everyday conversation, explicit commands, and even incomplete expressions of intent. In combination with VR headsets and other Internet of Things devices, multiple modalities such as speech, gestures, eye tracking, and even brain—computer interfaces can be integrated to create an imperceptibly natural mixed-reality interaction environment, enabling learners to focus more on the learning content itself rather than on operational skills.

Second is the interaction subjects: GenAI has greatly expanded the boundaries of interaction subjects. GenAI can simulate different roles, enabling learners to engage in immersive dialogues and discussions with "virtual agents". For example, pre-service teachers can use GenAI to simulate

student roles in order to construct teaching scenarios and carry out educational practicum activities such as trial lessons and lesson refinement<sup>[5]</sup>. In addition, in collaborative group learning, GenAI can serve as a collective external cognitive aid, analyzing in real time each member's contributions and divergences, generating discussion summaries, integrating viewpoints, and even inspiring new ideas to facilitate the emergence of collective intelligence.

Third is the interaction process, which evolves from simple command–response exchanges to a partnership of cognitive co-creation. GenAI is no longer merely an information retrieval tool or a simple question-answering machine, but an intelligent partner capable of understanding context, engaging in Socratic questioning, proposing hypotheses, generating drafts, and undertaking critical reflection. Learners and their GenAI learning partners engage in multiple rounds of in-depth dialogue around a complex problem. In this process, GenAI assumes roles such as mentor and peer, jointly constructing and deepening the understanding of knowledge. The crux of successful intelligent guidance lies in how to realize accurate learner profiling and adaptive guidance for the agents within smart teaching spaces<sup>[6]</sup>. At the same time, GenAI can conduct real-time analysis, modeling, and evaluation of the learner's entire thinking process and provide immediate feedback. It can not only identify errors, but also diagnose the deeper causes behind them, thereby recommending supplementary learning resources and, through questioning, guiding learners to engage in self-explanation and metacognitive monitoring. Such in-depth feedback functions like an always-online "cognitive tutor", providing crucial scaffolding for the development of learners' higher-order thinking.

## 3.4 Assessment patterns: From lagged outcome measures to process-embedded developmental assessment

GenAI will drive a fundamental shift in the assessment patterns of smart learning spaces in universities, transforming summative assessment that focuses on learning endpoints, uniform standards, and delayed feedback into intelligent developmental assessment that permeates the entire learning process, enables multidimensional diagnosis, and supports real-time intervention.

From an evaluation-orientation perspective, the fundamental purpose of evaluation is no longer merely to classify and select learning outcomes, but to promote students' capability development and metacognitive enhancement. As an always-online intelligent learning companion, GenAI's evaluative function is formative and supportive. Through continuous feedback, it helps students identify gaps, adjust strategies, and achieve self-transcendence, thereby restoring evaluation to its educational essence.

From the perspective of evaluation dimensions, traditional evaluation has largely relied on single quantitative indicators such as examination scores. GenAI can conduct multidimensional analyses based on the full range of data generated by students during the learning process and can automatically generate comprehensive digital literacy profiles that encompass knowledge mastery, critical thinking, collaboration skills, and innovation literacy, thereby providing a comprehensive and multidimensional representation of students' developmental trajectories.

From the perspective of assessment methods, GenAI can move beyond fixed test banks and, based on students' learning progress and authentic learning contexts, generate highly personalized assessment tasks in real time. For example, in project-based learning, AI can dynamically generate an open-ended, complex problem that is highly relevant to the current project and requires students to construct an argument or design a solution. Such embedded assessment more authentically reflects students' ability to apply knowledge and solve problems in real-world contexts.

From the perspective of assessment agents, the paradigm shifts from teacher-only dominance to a collaborative evaluation system in which teacher, GenAI, students, and peers jointly participate.

GenAI is responsible for processing massive amounts of process data and providing objective analytical reports and preliminary recommendations; teachers, in turn, draw on GenAI's insights to exercise value judgments, provide emotional support, and offer personalized guidance; students can also conduct self-assessment and peer assessment with the assistance of GenAI, jointly building an equitable, comprehensive, and efficient assessment ecosystem.

#### 3.5 Governance patterns: from experience-driven to data-driven smart governance

The deep integration of GenAI also makes it urgent for the governance patterns of smart learning spaces in universities to shift from an experience-driven model that relies on manual inspection and lagging mechanisms to a new pattern of data-intelligent governance characterized by data as the core, intelligence as the means, and agile responsiveness as the defining feature.

In terms of governance patterns, under the new patterns, the governance system relies on sensing infrastructure and data centers to conduct real-time monitoring and analysis of spatial operating conditions, AI application behaviors, and academic activity data. By configuring risk models, generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) can proactively identify potential violations such as tendencies toward academic misconduct, abnormal system operations, or early signs of algorithmic bias, and issue early warnings or even initiate automated intervention mechanisms in advance to prevent problems before they occur.

In terms of governance processes, the new patterns emphasize building an online co-governance platform that enables multi-party collaboration among administrators, teachers, students, and technical experts. Leveraging GenAI's capabilities in consensus building and solution generation, it is possible to rapidly synthesize the demands of all parties and generate draft governance rules, policy interpretations, or optimization proposals. The governance rules themselves also become dynamically adjustable "smart rules" that can be iteratively refined and continuously optimized based on practice feedback monitored by AI.

In terms of governance effectiveness, the progression is from vague perception to precise decision-making and resource optimization. GenAI enables governance decision-making to shift from experience-based judgments to precise decisions grounded in panoramic data insights. By deeply mining multi-source data such as space utilization rates, equipment energy consumption, resource utilization, and teacher–student satisfaction, GenAI can generate comprehensive governance diagnostic reports, performance evaluations, and optimization recommendations—for example, accurately predicting facility maintenance cycles, dynamically adjusting energy allocation, and optimizing space layout plans—thereby achieving optimal allocation of governance resources and the continuous enhancement of the overall effectiveness of the space.

#### 4. Conclusion

The emergence of GenAI marks a watershed moment in the history of educational technology development. For smart learning spaces in universities, it is by no means a mere functional enhancement, but rather a paradigm revolution that reaches into their core. By reshaping the five major patterns of infrastructure, resources, interaction, assessment, and governance, it is expected to fundamentally resolve the long-standing challenges that have hindered the construction of smart learning spaces, bringing into reality a truly "intelligent lifeform" that can perceive, think, provide companionship, and offer guidance. Of course, the dazzling nature of the technology must not obscure the fundamental questions it poses about the essence of education. We must remain clear-headed, adhere to the value anchor of "student-centered education," and advance this profound transformation with prudence and determination. In the future, smart learning spaces in universities will constitute an educational ecosystem in which humans and AI coexist harmoniously.

#### Acknowledgements

Chongqing Municipal Education Science Planning Project, General Project: Research on the Integration of AI-Driven Smart Learning Spaces in Higher Education (Project No.: K22YG216223)

#### References

- [1] Zhu, Y. M., Li, Y., Yang, Y. H., et al. (2023). Intelligent technologies driving the transformation of higher education: Key points and reflections from the Horizon Report 2023:Teaching and Learning Edition [J]. Open Education Research, 29(3), 19–30.
- [2] Kim, T., Cho, J. Y. & Lee, B. G. (2013). Evolution to smartlearning in public education: A case study of Korean public education [J]. Open and Social Technologies for Networked Learning, 395:170-178
- [3] Shen, S. (2018). The evolution of learning spaces and the transformation of learning paradigms [J]. E-education Research, 39(8), 59–63+84.
- [4] OZCAN Ozyurt, HACER Ozyurt, Baki A . Design and development of an innovative individualized adaptive and intelligent e-learning system for teaching—learning of probability unit: Details of UZWEBMAT[J]. Expert Systems with Applications, 2013, 40(8): 2914-2940.
- [5] Chenrui Zhang. (2025). DeepSeek-driven Empowerment of Teaching Internships for Pre-service Teachers. The Educational Review, USA, 9(6), 594-599
- [6] Zhao, Z., Zheng, Q., & Zhang, W. (2019). An empirical study on constructing a competency model for university teachers in smart learning environments. China Educational Technology, (2), 43–50.