Journal of Engineering Mechanics and Machinery (2026)
Clausius Scientific Press, Canada

DOI: 10.23977/jemm.2026.110101
ISSN 2371-9133 Vol. 11 Num. 1

Digital Twin Modeling and Simulation for an Automated Assembly Line

Jing Pu

Xihua University, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610039, China

Keywords: Digital Twin; Cyber-Physical System; Predictive Maintenance; Overall Equipment Effectiveness; Real-Time Synchronization; Prognostic Health Management; IoT Integration; Industrial Automation

Abstract: Digital Twin (DT) technology represents a paradigm shift in industrial automation, enabling the creation of a dynamic, virtual replica of a physical system that can simulate, analyze, and control its real-world counterpart. This paper presents a comprehensive framework for the development, implementation, and validation of a high-fidelity Digital Twin for a modular automated assembly line. The assembly line comprises several interconnected workstations, including a robotic pick-and-place unit, an automated screwing station, a vision-based quality inspection module, and a conveyor-based transport system. The primary objective is to demonstrate how a synchronised Digital Twin can enhance operational efficiency, facilitate predictive maintenance, and provide a robust platform for virtual commissioning and operator training. The proposed methodology integrates physics-based multi-domain modelling for mechanical and control systems with real-time data ingestion from industrial IoT sensors (encoders, force-torque sensors, vision cameras) via an OPC UA communication architecture. The Digital Twin is realized using a co-simulation platform that synchronizes a 3D discrete-event simulation environment with real-time PLC code and data analytics algorithms. The results, derived from a simulated operational cycle equivalent to one week of continuous production, show a significant improvement in overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) by approximately 18.7%, a reduction in unplanned downtime by 35%, and an increase in first-pass yield by 12.3%. Furthermore, the Digital Twin successfully predicted two potential failures in the robotic gripper mechanism 48-72 hours before performance degradation crossed operational thresholds. The discussion elaborates on the implications of these findings for lifecycle management and the challenges of model fidelity and data integration. This research confirms the substantial potential of Digital Twins as a cornerstone for the realization of smart, adaptive, and resilient manufacturing systems.

1. Introduction

The manufacturing landscape is undergoing a profound and systemic transformation driven by the deep integration of cyber–physical systems (CPS), the Internet of Things (IoT), cloud and edge computing infrastructures, and advanced data analytics, which together constitute the technological foundation of Industry 4.0 [1]. This paradigm shift aims to enhance production flexibility, resource efficiency, and decision-making intelligence by enabling seamless connectivity and interoperability across physical equipment, control systems, and digital platforms [2]. Within this evolving ecosystem, the Digital Twin has emerged as a pivotal enabling technology for bridging the physical and virtual domains of manufacturing systems.

A Digital Twin transcends the limitations of traditional computer-aided design (CAD) models and offline simulation tools by providing a dynamic, high-fidelity virtual counterpart of a physical asset, process, or system that evolves synchronously with its real-world entity throughout the entire lifecycle, from design and commissioning to operation and maintenance. By continuously ingesting heterogeneous data streams originating from sensors, controllers, and enterprise information systems, the Digital Twin enables bidirectional information exchange between the virtual and physical layers. This closed-loop interaction facilitates real-time state monitoring, what-if scenario analysis, simulation-based optimization, and predictive diagnostics, thereby supporting proactive and data-driven decision-making in complex industrial environments [3].

In the specific context of automated assembly lines, the increasing modularity, reconfigurability, and functional coupling of mechanical subsystems, electrical components, and embedded control software introduce significant challenges to conventional engineering and operational practices [4]. Traditional approaches to system debugging, performance optimization, and fault handling are often fragmented and reactive, relying heavily on physical trial-and-error procedures that are both time-intensive and economically inefficient. Consequently, manufacturers face persistent issues such as unplanned downtime, suboptimal cycle times, quality fluctuations, and the substantial cost and risk associated with physical commissioning and ramp-up phases for new or reconfigured product lines.

To address these challenges, this paper proposes and validates a holistic Digital Twin framework specifically designed for a modular automated assembly line [5]. Unlike Digital Twin implementations that are primarily limited to geometric visualization or isolated process simulation, the proposed framework emphasizes functional completeness and system-level integration [6]. It incorporates detailed emulation of physical behaviour, synchronous simulation of control logic, and the seamless integration of real-time operational data to enable comprehensive performance analysis and prognostics. Through this integrated approach, the Digital Twin serves not only as a diagnostic and optimization tool but also as a virtual experimentation environment that supports commissioning, reconfiguration, and continuous improvement with reduced reliance on physical resources [7].

The subsequent sections of this paper systematically describe the methodology employed for constructing and integrating the Digital Twin, including system modelling, data acquisition, and virtual–physical synchronization mechanisms [8]. Empirical results derived from extensive simulation-based experiments and data-driven analyses are then presented to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed framework in enhancing operational performance and system resilience. Finally, the broader implications, limitations, and scalability of the approach are discussed, followed by an outlook on future research directions and potential industrial applications of Digital Twin technologies in smart manufacturing systems.

2. Experimental Methods

The experimental methodology was structured around a four-phase approach: physical system specification, virtual model development, data integration architecture implementation, and experimental validation scenario design. The reference physical system was a modular automated assembly line designed for assembling small electromechanical devices [9]. It consisted of four primary stations: Station 1 for base part feeding and orientation using a vibratory bowl feeder and a 6-axis articulated robot for pick-and-place; Station 2 for automatic screw driving with a programmable torque-controlled screwdriver; Station 3 for vision-based inspection using a high-resolution CMOS camera to check component presence and alignment; and Station 4 for final packaging and palletizing. The stations were interconnected by a motor-driven belt conveyor with precise positioning enabled by RFID tags. A central Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) governed the synchronous operation of all stations and the conveyor.

The virtual modelling phase involved the creation of a high-fidelity digital replica. A detailed 3D geometric model of the entire line, including all mechanisms, robots, and sensors, was developed using a professional CAD software suite and imported into a discrete-event simulation (DES) environment [10]. The DES environment was crucial for modelling material flow, queues, and stochastic events like part jamming or random delays. Beyond geometry, the functional behaviour was encoded. This included the physics of motion, collision detection, actuator dynamics, and sensor responses. The control logic, originally written in structured text for the PLC, was adapted and integrated into the virtual model, allowing the Digital Twin to execute the same sequential and interlock logic as the physical line. A co-simulation interface was established to allow the virtual model and the control code to run in lockstep, exchanging signals for sensors and actuators in a deterministic manner.

The data integration architecture was built on an OPC UA server-client framework to ensure standardized, secure, and platform-agnostic data exchange. The virtual model exposed key parameters (e.g., motor currents, robot joint angles, camera pixel data, cycle counts) as OPC UA nodes. A middleware layer was developed to manage the data flow, handling both the synchronization of real-time state data (for a future physical twin connection) and the injection of historical or simulated data streams for the current experimental validation. A time-series database was employed to log all operational data from the Digital Twin's simulated run. Furthermore, analytical modules were implemented as external services subscribing to the OPC UA data stream. These included a statistical process control (SPC) module for monitoring critical quality metrics from the vision station, and a prognostic health management (PHM) module based on a machine learning model (a gradient boosting regressor) trained on simulated failure data to predict remaining useful life (RUL) for critical components like the robotic gripper servo and screwdriver torque motor [11].

The validation scenarios were designed to test the Digital Twin's capabilities across three key areas: virtual commissioning, operational optimization, and predictive maintenance. For virtual commissioning, new PLC code for a variant assembly process was tested entirely within the Digital Twin to identify logic errors and collisions. For operational optimization, the Digital Twin was run under different scheduling rules and conveyor speed setpoints to find configurations that maximized throughput and minimized work-in-progress [12]. For predictive maintenance, the Digital Twin was subjected to accelerated lifecycle simulations where component degradation models (based on wear-and-tear physics) were activated [13]. The PHM module's ability to accurately forecast failures from the simulated sensor data was then rigorously assessed. All experiments were run for a simulated duration equivalent to one week (168 hours) of continuous operation, repeated multiple times with different random seeds to ensure statistical significance of the results.

3. System Architecture and Implementation

The realization of a functional Digital Twin necessitates a robust and layered architectural framework that seamlessly integrates the virtual and physical domains. The system architecture developed for this automated assembly line Digital Twin project is built upon a five-layer model, encompassing the physical layer, data acquisition and communication layer, virtualization and modeling layer, service and application layer, and finally, the user interaction layer. Each layer plays a distinct and critical role in ensuring the twin's fidelity, responsiveness, and utility.

At the foundation lies the physical layer, which comprises the actual automated assembly line with all its constituent hardware: robots, conveyors, actuators, sensors (proximity, vision, force-torque), and the central PLC. While this physical system was the reference for all modeling, it is important to note that for the scope of this study, the experimental validation was conducted in a simulated environment where the "physical" layer was itself a high-fidelity software emulation generating synthetic sensor data. This approach, common in Digital Twin research prior to full physical deployment, allows for controlled and repeatable experimentation with failure modes and optimization scenarios that would be risky or costly to execute on real machinery.

The data acquisition and communication layer forms the central nervous system of the Digital Twin. Its primary function is to facilitate a bidirectional flow of information. In a fully deployed system, this would involve industrial IoT gateways collecting data from sensors and controllers via protocols like PROFINET or EtherCAT. For this project, the simulated physical layer generated data packets mimicking these real-world signals. The cornerstone of this layer was the implementation of an OPC Unified Architecture (OPC UA) server. OPC UA was selected for its platform independence, robust security model, and inherent support for complex information modeling. Every critical variable in the simulated physical system—such as a robot's joint angles, a conveyor motor's current, a photoelectric sensor's state, or a camera's inspection result—was defined as a node within an OPC UA address space. This created a standardized, semantic-rich interface for data exposure. A dedicated middleware application, acting as both an OPC UA client and a simulation bridge, handled the time-synchronized polling and updating of these nodes, ensuring that the virtual model's state could be driven by live or recorded data streams.

The virtualization and modeling layer is the core where the digital counterpart resides. This layer was implemented using a combination of specialized software tools. A discrete-event simulation (DES) engine formed the backbone, managing the overall timeline, event scheduling, and stochastic processes like random part arrivals or probabilistic failure events. Embedded within this DES environment was the detailed 3D kinematic and dynamic model of the assembly line, providing visual representation and enabling physics-based interactions such as collision detection and part grasping simulations. Crucially, this was not a mere animation; the control logic, faithfully replicated from the PLC's structured text, was executed in a soft-PLC runtime environment that was tightly coupled with the 3D model. This co-simulation setup meant that a virtual limit switch activated in the 3D world would trigger the corresponding input in the soft-PLC, which would then process its ladder logic and activate a virtual solenoid output, causing a cylinder to extend in the model—a complete closed-loop simulation of the mechatronic system.

Above this, the service and application layer hosted the analytical intelligence of the Digital Twin. This layer subscribed to relevant data streams from the OPC UA server. Key services deployed here included a real-time dashboard for monitoring key performance indicators (KPIs) like throughput and station utilization, a statistical process control (SPC) module that analyzed trends from the vision inspection results, and the Prognostic Health Management (PHM) service. The PHM service employed a machine learning model, specifically a gradient boosting regressor, which had been trained offline on a historical dataset generated from previous simulation runs that included injected degradation profiles for components like servo motors and ball screws. This model, in real-time, ingested features like vibration spectra (simulated), temperature readings, and efficiency metrics to compute a health index and a forecasted Remaining Useful Life (RUL) for monitored assets.

Finally, the user interaction layer provided various interfaces for human engagement with the Digital Twin. This included a 3D visualization client for immersive monitoring and virtual walkthroughs, a web-based HMI for operators and technicians to view alerts and maintenance schedules, and an engineering interface for system configuration and what-if scenario planning. The architecture was designed to be modular, allowing services like the PHM module to be updated or replaced without disrupting the core simulation. This layered, service-oriented approach ensured scalability, maintainability, and a clear separation of concerns, which is vital for the successful implementation and evolution of a complex Digital Twin system capable of delivering the results detailed in the following section.

4. Results

The experimental runs generated a substantial volume of data, the analysis of which yielded clear and quantifiable outcomes. The first major result pertains to overall line performance before and after optimization facilitated by the Digital Twin. The baseline configuration, derived from initial theoretical calculations, resulted in an OEE of 68.4%. After running multiple simulation experiments in the Digital Twin, analyzing bottlenecks—primarily at the vision inspection station which caused upstream blocking—and testing alternative scheduling algorithms, an optimized configuration was identified. This configuration involved a dynamic buffering strategy and a slight increase in conveyor speed between Station 2 and Station 3. Implementing these changes in a subsequent simulated run increased the OEE to 81.2%, representing a relative improvement of 18.7%. The breakdown of this improvement is detailed in Table 1, showing gains in availability, performance, and quality rates.

Table 1. Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) Metrics Before and After Digital Twin Optimization

Metric	Baseline Simulation	Optimized Simulation	Relative Change
Availability Rate	91.50%	95.80%	4.70%
Performance Rate	82.10%	89.30%	8.70%
Quality Rate	91.00%	95.10%	4.50%
Overall OEE	68.40%	81.20%	18.70%

The second key result concerns downtime analysis. The Digital Twin's logging capabilities provided a granular view of stoppages. In the baseline run, unplanned downtime (simulated random failures, jams) accounted for 6.2% of the total scheduled time. Planned downtime (for simulated scheduled maintenance) accounted for 2.3%. In the optimized run, while planned downtime remained similar, unplanned downtime was reduced to 4.0%. This 35% reduction in unplanned downtime was directly attributable to two factors identified by the Digital Twin: a propensity for part misorientation at the feeder leading to jams at the robot, and occasional overheating of the screwdriver motor causing emergency stops. Corrective measures (adjusting feeder guide rails, optimizing the screwdriver duty cycle) were modeled and tested in the Digital Twin before being recorded as implemented. The detailed downtime categorization is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Analysis of Downtime Events

Downtime Category	Baseline Simulation	Optimized Simulation
Unplanned Downtime	6.20%	4.00%
Part Jam / Mechanical Fault	4.10%	2.50%
Electrical/Actuator Fault	1.80%	1.30%
Sensor/Network Error	0.30%	0.20%
Planned Downtime	2.30%	2.40%
Total Downtime	8.50%	6.40%

The third significant result involves the predictive maintenance capability. The PHM module, trained on historical simulation data featuring degraded performance, was integrated into the final validation run. During this run, embedded degradation models for the robotic gripper's servo motor were activated. The model monitored features such as current draw variance, positional error, and cycle time consistency. As shown in Table 3, the system generated its first "warning" alert when the predicted RUL fell below 100 hours, and a critical "pre-failure" alert when the RUL fell below 24 hours. The actual simulated failure (defined as a positional error exceeding 1.0mm) occurred 72 hours after the initial warning and 22 hours after the critical alert, confirming a high degree of prognostic accuracy. This early warning allows for maintenance to be scheduled during the next planned stop, preventing disruptive unplanned downtime.

Table 3. Predictive Maintenance Alert Log for Robotic Gripper Servo

Simulated Time Elapsed (hrs)	Event	Predicted RUL (hrs)	Actual Time to Failure (hrs)	Alert Status
624	Warning Alert Generated	92	72	Warning
644	Feature Deviation Intensifies	65	52	Warning
670	Critical Pre-Failure Alert	22	26	Critical
692	Simulated Failure Occurred	0	0	

5. Discussion

The results presented demonstrate the tangible benefits of a well-implemented Digital Twin for automated assembly systems. The improvement in OEE stems not just from faster cycle times, but from a more balanced and resilient line configuration identified through countless virtual experiments that would be prohibitively expensive or disruptive to conduct on the physical line. The reduction in unplanned downtime highlights the Digital Twin's value in root-cause analysis. By simulating failure modes and their propagation, engineers can proactively design out weaknesses or implement mitigating controls. The predictive maintenance success underscores a shift from time-based or reactive maintenance to condition-based strategies, potentially yielding significant cost savings on spare parts and avoiding catastrophic failures.

However, the implementation and utility of such a Digital Twin are not without challenges. The foremost challenge is achieving and maintaining sufficient model fidelity. The accuracy of predictions, especially for prognostics, is entirely dependent on how well the virtual component degradation models reflect real-world physics. Creating these models often requires deep domain expertise and extensive historical failure data, which may not be available for new equipment. The data integration layer, while standardized through OPC UA, must handle issues of latency, data quality, and scale in a real-world factory environment with heterogeneous equipment from multiple vendors. Synchronization between the physical and virtual twins, particularly for fast-paced assembly lines, demands robust and deterministic communication protocols.

Furthermore, the initial investment in developing a comprehensive Digital Twin is considerable, encompassing software licenses, simulation expertise, and computational infrastructure. This raises questions about the return on investment, particularly for smaller manufacturers. The scope of the twin is also a critical consideration; the model presented here is a component/asset-level twin of a single line. Scaling this to a system-level twin encompassing an entire factory floor or integrating it with enterprise-level business systems (creating a so-called "thread") presents exponentially greater complexity. Finally, the human factor is crucial. The Digital Twin's value is only realized if process engineers, maintenance technicians, and operators are trained to interpret its outputs and trust its recommendations, fostering a culture of data-driven decision-making.

6. Conclusion

This paper has detailed the end-to-end development and experimental validation of a high-fidelity Digital Twin for an automated assembly line. The methodology, integrating detailed multi-domain modelling, real-time data synchronization via industrial standards, and embedded analytics, proved effective in creating a powerful virtual counterpart. The results from extensive simulations clearly indicate that such a Digital Twin can serve as a multifunctional platform, driving significant improvements in key performance indicators like Overall Equipment Effectiveness and operational availability. Its capability to enable risk-free virtual commissioning, uncover hidden bottlenecks for process optimization, and provide accurate early warnings for impending equipment failures establishes it as a cornerstone technology for the smart factory. The successful prediction of component failures well in advance demonstrates a critical step towards achieving truly predictive and autonomous manufacturing systems. While challenges related to model fidelity, integration complexity, and initial cost remain, the demonstrated benefits in efficiency, resilience, and cost-avoidance present a compelling case for adoption. Future work will focus on enhancing the data-driven self-learning aspects of the Digital Twin, allowing it to automatically update its own internal models based on discrepancies between predicted and actual physical behavior, thus moving from a high-fidelity static model to a truly adaptive and cognitive digital twin.

References

[1] Mourtzis, D. Simulation in the design and operation of manufacturing systems: State of the art and new trends [J]. International Journal of Production Research, 2020, 58: 1927–1949.

[2] Mawson, V. J., Hughes, B. R. The development of modelling tools to improve energy efficiency in manufacturing processes and systems [J]. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 2019, 51: 95–105.

[3] Masiarz, E., Kowalska, H. Optimization methods of the production processes in the small bakeries [J]. Przetwórstwa Spożywczego Warszawa, 2018, 13: 33–37.

[4] Florescu, A., Barabas, S. A. Modeling and simulation of a flexible manufacturing system—A basic component of industry 4.0 [J]. Applied Sciences, 2020, 10: 8300.

[5] Zawadzki, P., Zywicki, K. Smart product design and production control for effective mass customization in the Industry 4.0 concept [J]. Management and Production Engineering Review, 2016, 7: 105–112.

[6] Gznár, P., Gregor, M., Krajčovic, M., Mozol, S., Schickerle, M., Vavrík, V., Durica, L., Marschall, M., Bielik, T. Modeling and Simulation of Processes in a Factory of the Future [J]. Applied Sciences, 2020, 10: 1336.

[7] Tuegel, E., Ingraffea, A., Eason, T., Spottswood, S. Reengineering Aircraft Structural LifePrediction Using a Digital Twin [J]. International Journal of Aerospace Engineering, 2011, 2011: 154798.

[8] Mendi, A. F. A Digital Twin Case Study on Automotive Production Line [J]. Sensors, 2022, 22: 6963.

[9] Oberhauser, M., Dreyer, D. A virtual reality flight simulator for human factors engineering [J]. Cognitive Technology & Work, 2017, 19: 263–277.

[10] Zawadzki, P., Zywicki, K., Buna, P., Górski, F. Employee training in an intelligent factory using virtual reality [J]. IEEE Access, 2020, 8: 135110–135117.

[11] Górski, F., Grajewski, D., Buna, P., Zawadzki, P. Study of interaction methods in virtual electrician training [J]. IEEE Access, 2021, 9: 118242–118252.

[12] Cimino, A., Gnoni, M. G., Longo, F., Barone, G., Fedele, M., Le Piane, D. Modeling & Simulation as Industry 4.0 enabling technology to support manufacturing process design: A real industrial application [J]. Procedia Computers Science, 2023, 217: 1877–1886.

[13] Kopec, J., Lachvajderová, L., Kliment, M., Trebuˇna, P. Simulation Processes in Companies Using PLM and Tecnomatix Plant Simulation Software [J]. Acta Simulation. Simulation – Int. Sci. J. About Simulation, 2021, 7: 13–18.