

AI-Driven Generation of Personalized Instructional Pathways for Teaching Chinese as a Foreign Language

Ziyi Li

Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, Guangxi, 541000, China

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Teaching Chinese as a Foreign Language; Personalized Instruction; Instructional Pathways

Abstract: The deep integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies into language education provides new opportunities for transforming Teaching Chinese as a Foreign Language (TCFL) from standardized instruction toward personalized learning pathways. In response to learners' diverse first-language backgrounds, linguistic proficiency levels, cognitive characteristics, and learning goals, this study examines the practical value of AI-driven personalized instructional pathway generation in TCFL and identifies three major challenges: insufficient accuracy in learner-profile identification, delays in the dynamic adjustment of instructional pathways, and limitations in ensuring the effectiveness of personalized instruction. To address these challenges, the study proposes optimization strategies in three areas: improving mechanisms for the precise identification and continuous updating of learner profiles, establishing dynamic pathway-adjustment mechanisms based on real-time learning feedback, and strengthening evaluation and teacher-review mechanisms to ensure instructional effectiveness. Through the coordinated use of data analytics, intelligent recommendation, and teachers' professional judgment, AI-driven personalized instructional pathways can better align instructional content, task difficulty, and learning progression with learners' evolving needs, thereby providing practical support for enhancing the precision, adaptability, and effectiveness of TCFL instruction.

1. Introduction

Learners of Chinese as a foreign language may demonstrate that first-language backgrounds, linguistic foundations, cultural cognition, and the learning goals could differ markedly. However, the conventional standardized instructional models might indicate that these models struggle to respond adequately to the differentiated learning needs. Moreover, natural language processing, learning analytics, and the intelligent recommendation technologies appear to show that these technologies are increasingly incorporated into the language education. Furthermore, AI could identify that learners' current learning states demonstrate learning behaviors, the assessment results, and the interaction records. AI generates targeted pathways. Nevertheless, the problems such as biased data identification, delayed pathway adjustment, and the inadequate alignment between technological applications and the instructional objectives may suggest that these problems constrain the significant advantages of personalized instruction. Thus, investigating the generation

logic and the optimization mechanisms of AI-driven instructional pathways could demonstrate that this investigation is of important practical significance for advancing TCFL toward the greater precision and dynamism^[1].

2. Significance of AI-Driven Personalized Instructional Pathway Generation in TCFL

2.1 Precise Identification of Learner Differences

Learners of Chinese as a foreign language may demonstrate that substantial differences exist in first-language background, linguistic proficiency, cognitive style, learning goals, and cultural experience, suggesting that standardized instructional pathways appear insufficiently responsive to individual needs. Moreover, the data from learning behavior, assessment results, classroom interaction records, and assignment performance could indicate that AI can continuously analyze vocabulary mastery, grammatical comprehension, pronunciation errors, reading speed, and expressive competence to establish multidimensional learner profiles. Furthermore, these significant profiles may suggest that the system can identify areas of weakness and relative strengths, demonstrate that differences exist in learners' needs for language input, practice intensity, and task difficulty, and provide data-informed support for differentiated content, task allocation, and pathway generation. Given that these processes appear relevant, results could indicate that alignment between TCFL instruction and learners' actual proficiency levels might improve. AI shows pathway effectiveness^[2].

2.2 Dynamic Adaptation to the Learning Process

The findings may suggest that learning Chinese as a foreign language appears inherently developmental and dynamic: learners' knowledge mastery, learning pace, and the ability to use the language could evolve continuously through instructional activities. Moreover, the evidence demonstrates that fixed teaching plans often fail to reflect these changes in a timely manner, potentially resulting in excessively difficult content, overly rapid progression, or repetitive practice. Furthermore, the data indicate that by continuously collecting information such as response accuracy, task-completion time, error types, review frequency, and interactive feedback, AI might update estimates of learners' states in real time and adjust content sequencing, task difficulty, and practice volume according to stage-specific performance. Given that instructional pathways can thus shift from static arrangements to dynamic regulation, the results may suggest that this approach maintains coordination among linguistic input, skills practice, and competence development. AI shows reduced ineffective repetition. Additionally, the findings could indicate that sustaining the continuity and appropriateness of the learning process appears critical while reducing learning discontinuities. Nevertheless, the significant evidence might demonstrate that dynamic regulation supports the continuity of the learning process. In light of these results, the data may establish that appropriateness of instructional pathways appears key to competence development^[3].

2.3 Enhancing the Effectiveness of Personalized Instruction

Conventional TCFL instruction is constrained by class size, limited instructional time, and teachers' workload, making it difficult to attend continuously to individual differences. However, when AI participates in instructional pathway generation, differentiated content may suggest configurations based on the findings from learner profiles and stage-specific performance. Moreover, vocabulary, grammar, listening, speaking, reading, and writing activities could indicate that combining these skills according to actual needs might improve outcomes, and task structures

could be adjusted promptly given that learning feedback appears relevant. Given that these results demonstrate key issues, teachers may concentrate classroom guidance on recurrent errors, barriers from language transfer, and insufficient communicative competence. Analytics and teacher judgment improve resource use and strengthen feedback. Therefore, the coordination of data analytics with professional judgment could indicate that this approach supports a transition in TCFL from standardized provision toward precise and personalized guidance, and the evidence suggests that such integration appears critical for future practice^[4].

3. Problems in AI-Driven Personalized Instructional Pathway Generation in TCFL

3.1 Insufficient Accuracy in Learner-Feature Identification

AI-driven generation of personalized instructional pathways depends on accurate judgments of learner characteristics. However, current feature-identification practices may remain constrained by limited data dimensions and could show inadequate contextual understanding. Given that many systems concentrate on response accuracy, learning duration, test scores, and task completion, deeper characteristics such as first-language transfer, cultural background, learning motivation, and language anxiety appear insufficiently captured. Moreover, the evidence suggests that pronunciation errors, grammatical misuse, and pragmatic inappropriateness in TCFL are strongly associated with learners' first languages, though similar observable errors might arise from different underlying causes. Reliance on behavioral data alone reduces to stereotyped labeling. Furthermore, fragmented learning records and periodic assessment data may fail to represent changes in competence comprehensively, and this could create discrepancies between learner profiles and actual language proficiency. Therefore, these gaps appear to reduce the accuracy of instructional pathway generation, and the findings suggest that richer contextual data might address this limitation.

3.2 Delayed Dynamic Adaptation of Instructional Pathways

The learning states of TCFL learners may suggest that these conditions change continuously, yet the updating of AI-generated instructional pathways might indicate that adaptation could remain out of step with the learning process. However, the evidence demonstrates that some systems primarily adjust instructional arrangements on the basis of periodic data from stage tests or unit exercises and may respond slowly to transient classroom performance, the quality of oral interaction, knowledge decay, and the changes in learning pace. Moreover, the findings suggest that when learners have already mastered a particular linguistic item, the existing pathways may continue to recommend similar exercises. Nevertheless, the results could indicate that when recurrent grammatical errors or communicative difficulties emerge, the subsequent content may not be adjusted promptly. Pathway adaptation shows curricular structures constrain flexibility. Furthermore, the data may demonstrate that this constraint appears significant in the relationships among knowledge points and in progression across difficulty levels. Given that instructional content lags behind actual changes in learner competence, the evidence might suggest that these delays could weaken the dynamic character of personalized pathways^[5].

3.3 Constraints on the Effectiveness of Personalized Instruction

AI-driven personalized instructional pathways may be insufficiently aligned with authentic instructional needs in practice. Some pathways rely excessively on quantifiable data and could indicate that personalized instruction gets equated with frequent practice, content recommendation, and difficulty adjustment, thus overlooking objectives such as communicative competence,

intercultural understanding, and expression in authentic contexts. Moreover, the recommended content may also become fragmented, with weak connections among vocabulary, grammar, and the integrated development of listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills. Given that this fragmentation occurs, learners might complete substantial practice without developing a coherent knowledge structure. Learners finish drills without real progress. Furthermore, when teachers lack adequate understanding of the rationale underlying algorithmic recommendations, they may find that judging the alignment between generated pathways and instructional objectives appears difficult. This disconnect could indicate that technologically generated recommendations and classroom activities remain poorly linked, and therefore the actual effectiveness of personalized instruction may be limited.

4. Optimization Strategies for AI-Driven Personalized Instructional Pathway Generation in TCFL

4.1 Improving Mechanisms for Precise Learner-Profile Identification

A multidimensional data-collection framework should be established around TCFL learners' linguistic foundations, first-language backgrounds, cognitive characteristics, and learning goals. Placement assessments, classroom interactions, assignment records, oral production, learning duration, and error types should all be incorporated into the learner-profile system. Fine-grained indicators should be developed for distinct dimensions of competence, including pronunciation, vocabulary, grammar, and pragmatics. Natural language processing and learning analytics can then be used to identify error types, areas of weakness, and changes in competence, thereby reducing biases that arise when proficiency is judged primarily from test scores^[6].

Learner profiles should also be updated continuously by cross-validating stage-assessment data against everyday learning behaviors and revising existing feature labels based on newly generated learning records. Moreover, error-feature repositories may need to be developed for learners with different first-language backgrounds, since this could help distinguish causes such as negative first-language transfer, insufficient knowledge mastery, and contextual misunderstanding. Given that human oversight remains important, a teacher-validation stage should be incorporated so that AI-generated identification results might be reviewed by human instructors. Therefore, this could establish an identification chain of “data collection – feature analysis – profile updating – teacher validation,” and the findings suggest that this chain provides an accurate data foundation for instructional pathway generation. This grounds pathway design in evidence.

4.2 Constructing a Dynamic Adaptation Mechanism for Instructional Pathways

A pathway-adjustment model grounded in real-time learning feedback should be established. Given that response accuracy, task-completion speed, error frequency, oral-interaction performance, and review outcomes could indicate useful parameters, the findings suggest that these signals can be converted into pathway-adjustment inputs. However, in accordance with changes in learning state, AI might dynamically determine the sequence of vocabulary, grammar, and the four language skills, and the evidence suggests that this reduces repetitive practice for mastered knowledge. Moreover, the system may increase targeted tasks for areas where errors recur, and adjust difficulty and intensity according to learners' competence levels. Pathway changes stay synchronized with the learning process.

Dynamic regulation points combining short-cycle diagnosis with stage-based assessment should also be established. Therefore, mastery estimates could be updated after a set number of tasks, while attainment of stage objectives might be reassessed at the end of each unit. Furthermore, a relational

network among knowledge points may incorporate prerequisite, core, and extension knowledge into pathway planning, so that the key findings indicate that marked changes in one area trigger related adjustments elsewhere. Nevertheless, teachers should review and revise system-generated pathways in light of classroom performance, and this could establish that the process supports ongoing refinement. Cycle links real-time feedback, state diagnosis, pathway reconfiguration, and effectiveness evaluation.

4.3 Strengthening Mechanisms to Safeguard the Effectiveness of Personalized Instruction

A multilevel evaluation system for instructional effectiveness should focus on linguistic knowledge mastery, language-skill development, communicative competence, and intercultural understanding, rather than relying mainly on response accuracy and task-completion volume. However, the evidence suggests that performance in situational dialogues, thematic expression, writing tasks, and cultural understanding should be built into the evaluation framework. Given that evidence demonstrates learning-process data matters, AI might indicate the actual contribution of personalized pathways to comprehensive language competence. Moreover, the significant results could show that resources and task structures should be adjusted based on evaluation findings, strengthening alignment between pathway generation and instructional goals. Teachers review recommendations and set goals^[7].

In light of these findings, the functional boundaries between AI and teachers in personalized instruction may need clear definition. Therefore, AI should handle learning-data analysis, resource matching, pathway recommendation, and process feedback, while teachers retain responsibility for goal-setting, review of recommendations, organizing communicative activities, and guiding cultural content. Nevertheless, quality records for pathway implementation could track recommended content, learning outcomes, teacher revisions, and attainment of stage objectives, while periodically checking consistency between algorithmic recommendations and actual results. Furthermore, the key evidence appears to demonstrate that coordination between technological analysis and professional judgment supports pathways oriented toward learners' language development. Judgment guides technology use.

5. Conclusions

AI-driven generation of personalized instructional pathways in TCFL involves the precise configuration of instructional content, task difficulty, and learning progression in response to learner differences and changes in learning state. Moreover, the findings suggest that this application could help overcome the limitations of standardized instructional models in responding to individual needs, enabling language learning to shift from fixed arrangements toward dynamic adaptation. However, current practice may remain constrained by incomplete coverage of learning data, insufficient accuracy in feature identification, and a lack of synchronization between pathway updating and changes in learner competence. Given that evaluation of instructional effectiveness appears overly narrow, results might indicate that technological advantages have not yet been fully translated into educational outcomes. Study shows several fixes needed. To address these limitations, multidimensional learning data should be used to improve the quality of learner-profile identification. Furthermore, the evidence may suggest that real-time feedback and stage-based assessment could support dynamic pathway adjustment. Additionally, multilevel evaluation, teacher review, and continuous tracking might strengthen safeguards for instructional effectiveness. In light of these findings, a complete closed loop comprising data identification, intelligent generation, dynamic revision, and instructional evaluation could promote effective coordination between AI technologies and teachers' professional judgment. Nevertheless, the significant results appear to

demonstrate that this coordination enhances the scientific rigor, appropriateness, and practical value of personalized instructional pathway generation in TCFL.

References

- [1] Sun, Y., & Wang, Q. (2026). *Teaching Chinese as a Foreign Language: Experiential Teaching, Cultural Communication, Cultural Identity, and Teaching Innovation*. *Journal of Contemporary Educational Research*, 10(6), 164–169.
- [2] Cao S, He Z, Wang S, et al. *Multimodal Approaches in Teaching Chinese as a Foreign Language: A Study of Idioms in TCFL Microlessons*[J]. *Journal of Language, Culture and Education*, 2026, 3(5): 25-40.
- [3] Zheng, Q., & Xiang, D. J. (2026). *Semantic analysis, cognitive difficulties, and coping strategies for “he” in Teaching Chinese as a Foreign Language [in Chinese]*. *Journal of Xingyi Normal University for Nationalities*, (2), 119–124.
- [4] Zeng X. *A Comparative Study of Chinese and Japanese Body Language and Its Implications for Teaching Chinese as a Foreign Language*[J]. *Journal of Language, Culture and Education*, 2025, 2(3): 35-41.
- [5] Liu Y. *A Study on Acquisition Errors and Teaching Strategies for Bumian and Nanmian in Teaching Chinese as a Foreign Language*[J]. *Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 2025, 7(7): 74-80.
- [6] Sansan, J. (2026). *Knowledge-Centric Cognitive Computing Framework for Psychological Well-Being Assessment in Teaching Chinese as a Foreign Language*. *International Journal of Knowledge Management (IJKM)*, 22(1), 1–18.
- [7] Qianhui, D. (2025). *Study on the Role of Intelligent Voice Assistant in Teaching Chinese as a Foreign Language*. *Information Resources Management Journal (IRMJ)*, 38(1), 1–20.