A Design Reuse Method of 3D CAD Model Based on Retrieval

Zhang Kaixing\textsuperscript{1,a}, Hang Shengyu\textsuperscript{1,b}, Liu Xianxi\textsuperscript{1,2,c,*}

\textsuperscript{1} College of Mechanical and Electronic Engineering, Shandong Agricultural University, Tai’an, China; \textsuperscript{2} Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Horticultural Machineries and Equipments, Tai’an, China
\textsuperscript{a}email: kaixingzhang@139.com, \textsuperscript{b}email: sdaujdxyhsy@163.com, \textsuperscript{c}email: bullish@sdau.edu.cn

Keywords: Design reuse, 3D CAD model, Model retrieval

Abstract. With respect to the requirement of precise and intelligent design reuse in product digital design, this paper proposes a novel 3D model retrieval method for product design reuse, the method use the design feature as the carriers to organize the geometric and design feature information. First, the concept of the design feature model is proposed, the 3D CAD model is featured and represented using a feature attribute adjacency graph, and a CAD models is represented as a set of the design features. After that the sub-parts contained in the 3D models are regarded as the nodes with attributes. Accordingly, each CAD model can be represented as a set of the nodes, and the comparison of two models is transformed into similarity measuring their two corresponding sets of nodes. At last, we complete the similarity assessment by using an optimal matching algorithm for bi-graphs on the comparison of the similarity of the model nodes, and realize related model and design resource effective reuse. Experimental results show the proposed method can achieve the 3D model retrieval and support the design reuse.

1 Introduction

With the development of digital design and manufacturing integrated technology, 3D CAD model has become the core media of expressing product design and manufacturing information. As the number of 3D models accumulated by enterprises in the process of production is continuously increasing, how to efficiently locate the desired model, fully exploit and reuse the implicit knowledge, have an important role to improve the mechanical design efficiency and quality in the product design field.

Generally speaking, what we need to do first in the semantic retrieval of 3D models is to split these models to make them represented with different sub-structures. Then we can achieve the semantic retrieval process through the descriptions and annotations to models\textsuperscript{[1][2]}, Razdan\textsuperscript{[3]}, etc. adopted an algorithm based on the watershed to achieve the segmentation of 3D models, carrying out corresponding editing and semantic annotation to the segmentations. Gal\textsuperscript{[4]}, etc. used the curvature’s difference of the model’s surface to achieve the automatic segmentation of different models and evaluate the overall similarity of model through local structure’s similarity. Funkhouser\textsuperscript{[5]}, etc. evaluated the overall similarity of model by using the method of constructing the
local region. Research institutions in Europe, the United States and other countries decomposed
the 3D models into meaningful subparts based on the perceptual characteristics of the models, and
semantic tags to each sub-section, constituting the semantic representation of the model to achieve
the model retrieval and reuse.

This paper proposes a novel 3D model retrieval method for product design reuse, the method
uses the design feature as the carriers to organize the geometric and design feature information.

2 The definition and representation of the structural CAD model

The structured CAD model is mainly constituted by the geometric information, topology
information, and feature information. Geometric information refers to the geometry of the CAD
model. Topological information refers to the topology adjacency of CAD models. Feature
information refers to the features or typical structures (combination of features) CAD model
contained. The internal relations of the structural CAD model are described by the features and
adjacent diagrams of typical structures, the graph vertices represent characteristics or typical
structures, vertex has the attribute information, vertex of connections between adjacent relations
representative between them, and the connections between the vertices represent the adjacency
relationship between them. Adjacency graph can not only present the CAD model in a structured
form, but also give the distribution of features/characteristic structures in CAD model and the
location of mutual positional relationship.

Structural CAD model is a collection of characteristics or typical structures, feature mainly refers
to the basic manufacturing feature, and the typical structures are multiple feature combination areas
with reuse value, and characteristic and the typical structures have parameterized geometric
information.

In order to realize the representation of the structured CAD model, 3D CAD models need to be
represented as a collection of features / characteristic structures. First of all, using an algorithm
combined diagrams and rules to realize automatic feature recognition. To the recognition of
intersecting features, the algorithm has good effect and high recognition efficiency. Few amount
characteristics which are difficult to automatically identify use human-computer interaction to
achieve, as shown in Figure 1. The characteristics considered in this paper are basic manufacturing
features and composite characterized by combinations of these basic characteristics, made or
arranged according to certain rules and arrays, basic features include the following five categories:
boss, pocket, step, hole and slot. Then, based on the characteristic representation of CAD model,
discovering and extracting the typical structure CAD model contained, extracting 24 typical
structures from the model library. Through the above steps, the CAD model is represented as a
collection of features / typical structures.
3 Similarity assessment of structural CAD model

Similarity assessment of structural CAD model includes the type, shape information, topological connection information and scale information. Similarity assessment of model combines the geometric information, topological information and feature information of model, fitting the requirement of semantic retrieval reuse better.

(1) Comparison of different types
In the comparison of characteristics / typical structures’ geometric feature, if the types of two features / typical structures being compared are different, that their similarity is 0, and if they are the same types, that their similarity is 1. Set the type of two compared features / typical structures as $T_1$ and $T_2$, and similarity of types use the $ST$ to express, as shown in equation (1).

$$S_T = \begin{cases} 
0 & T_1 \neq T_2 \\
1 & T_1 = T_2 
\end{cases}$$

(2) Comparison of the shape information
For features/characteristic structures whose types are the same, shape differences may exist. In order to obtain the differences of geometry information, this article will use the shape distribution algorithm to compare geometric information of characteristic/typical structures. This method has the advantages of simple calculation and good robustness. Randomly selecting points on the surface of the model, then count the distance of random point (D2) as a measurement, formatting the distribution curve, and through the EMD distance to compare the shape distribution curves, so as to realize the comparison of characteristics / typical structures’ geometry information. Set the distance between the two characteristic/typical structures’ distribution curves compared to be EMD ($X$, $Y$), then the similarity $SD$ of their shape information will be:

$$S_D = 1 - EMD(X, Y)$$

(3) Comparison of topology connection information
Topological structure plays an important role in the similarity evaluation of the model, topological similarity between two features/characteristic structures use the figure (3) to calculate. Wherein: $n_e$ represents the number of compatible edges between the two characteristics / typical structures, and $n_{e1}$, $n_{e2}$ represent the number of the partial structures adjacent to the two compared characteristics / typical structures.

$$S_e = \frac{n_e}{\max(n_{e1}, n_{e2})}$$

(4) Comparison of the scale information
For features/characteristic structures of the same shape type, different scales may lead to its reuse value completely different. For example, the apertures can be processed using the method of drilling, while large holes need Boring, their processing methods are different. Scale similarity between the two features / typical structures is evaluated using their minimum bounding box. Set the minimum bounding box of the two compared characteristics / typical structures length, width and height, respectively $a1$, $a2$, $a3$ and $b1$, $b2$, $b3$, then their scale similarity can be calculated in the formula (4).
Similarity of the features/characteristic structures of T1 and T2 can be obtained by its type, shape similarity, topological similarity and scale similarity in the comprehensive weighted method, and expressed with $\delta$, then:

$$
\delta = \begin{cases} 
0 & T_1 \neq T_2 \\
\omega_T S_T + \omega_D S_D + \omega_L S_L + \omega_Q S_Q & T_1 = T_2 
\end{cases} 
$$

$\omega_T$, $\omega_D$, $\omega_L$ and $\omega_Q$ respectively represent the weight coefficient of each component, and their sum is 1.

Regard each features/characteristic structures of the structural CAD model as a node, and regard the type, shape information, topology information, and scale information of characteristics / typical structures as the attributes of the node. The similarity assessment is completed by using an optimal matching algorithm for bi-graphs on the comparison of the similarity of structural model nodes.

Set the collection of nodes the structural CAD model M1 contained can be expressed as $T_1=\{t_{11}, t_{12}, \ldots t_{1m}\}$, nodes collection of M2 can be expressed as $T_2=\{t_{21}, t_{22}, \ldots, t_{2n}\}$, similarity comparison of model is transformed into optimal matching problem of complete bipartite graph consisting of two group of nodes. Construction of complete bipartite graph is shown in Figure 3, set the complete bipartite graph $G= (V, E)$, in the formula, the vertices of a graph $V$ are the union of node set T1 and T2, $V= T_1 \cup T_2$. And collection connection relationship between set T1 and set T2 in each node doesn’t exist, for any node pairs $t_{1i} \in T_1$ and $t_{2j} \in T_2$, connecting them with an edge.

![Figure 2 Construct Figure of Bi-graphs](image)

To any node pairs of two models, according to the node attributes, similarity coefficient for each node can be calculated using equation (5) and set the similarity coefficient as two bipartite graphs’ weights. Then the weights matrix of the bipartite graph is the similarity matrix between the two structural CAD model, as shown in equation (6), when the number of two node groups is not the same, pad 0, make the similarity matrix square.

$$
S_q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{|a_i - b_i|}{\max(a_i, b_i)} \right) / 3
$$

$$
\omega_T S_T + \omega_D S_D + \omega_L S_L + \omega_Q S_Q
$$
In order to get overall optimal matching of the two compared structural CAD model between each local structure, Kuhn-Munkres algorithm which is the best in calculating optimal matching of the two graphs is used to calculate the optimum matching scheme of similarity matrix. According to the calculated optimal matching scheme, similarity of two models will be evaluated with the values of optimal matching areas.

The more features each node of structural CAD model contained, the more effects on model similarity. Therefore, considering the influence of the number of features the different partial structure contained to the model similarity, and the similarity values of the two models will be calculated using equation (7).

\[
S = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{f_{m(j)} + f_j}{2} \cdot \delta_{m(j)} \right) \left/ \max\left(\sum_{m=1}^{200} f_{m(j)} \cdot \sum_{f_j} \right) \right.
\]

Wherein, \( m_j \) represents the number of rows that can make an optimal matching with the \( j \)-th column in formula (5), \( \delta_{m(j)} \) is the similarity coefficient of the \( m(j) \)-th partial structure of the model \( M_1 \) and the \( m \)-th partial structure of model \( M_2 \), \( f_{m(j)} \) represents the number of features the \( m(j) \)-th partial structure of the model \( M_1 \) contained, \( f_j \) represents that the \( j \)-th partial structure of the model \( M_2 \) contained.

4 Experimental results and analysis

In order to verify the effectiveness of the algorithm, Microsoft Visual Studio 2008 is used as the integrated development environment, and Open CASCADE is adopted as the geometry modeling platform. Model library contains about 200 common 3D CAD models and 52 features/characteristic structures.

Table 3 show the experimental results of the algorithm in this chapter, shape distribution algorithm [10] and spherical harmonics algorithms [12] applying to two CAD models retrieval respectively. Shape distribution algorithm and spherical harmonics algorithm evaluate the models from the similarity of geometric similarity, and the algorithm in this chapter takes the geometric model, topological information, structure information and scale information into account. Therefore, it is able to reuse the design information better, and better in line with the needs of CAD domain semantic retrieval.
Table 1 Retrieve Example 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input model</th>
<th>Method in0</th>
<th>Retrieval result</th>
<th>Method in0</th>
<th>Retrieval result</th>
<th>Method in this paper</th>
<th>Retrieval result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Conclusion

We propose the concept of the structural CAD model, initially represent a CAD models as a set of the features and the characteristic structures which indicate the manufacturing semantic information, and then represent the structural CAD model by using the ontology technique. After that we take the attribute information of the structural CAD models into consideration, and extract them in retrieval. The sub-parts contained in the structural CAD models are regarded as the nodes with attributes. Accordingly, each CAD model can be represented as a set of the nodes, and the comparison of two models is transformed into similarity measuring their two corresponding sets of nodes. At last, we complete the similarity assessment by applying an optimal matching algorithm for bi-graphs on the comparison of the similarity of structural model nodes. Due to the structure-based representation in similarity assessment, the proposed method can better satisfied the demands in CAD semantic retrieval, and is of great importance significance in the semantic retrieval and reuse.
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